arXiv:1403.2511v1 [math.AP] 11 Mar 2014

LARGE MASS BOUNDARY CONDENSATION PATTERNS IN THE STATIONARY KELLER-SEGEL SYSTEM

MANUEL DEL PINO, ANGELA PISTOIA, AND GIUSI VAIRA

Abstract. We consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = \lambda e^u, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \partial_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\lambda > 0$ and ν is the outer normal derivative at $\partial\Omega$. This problem is equivalent to the stationary Keller-Segel system from chemotaxis.
We establish the existence of a solution u_λ which exhibits a sharp boundary layer along the entire boundary $\partial\Omega$ as $\lambda \to 0$. These solutions have large mass in the sense that $\int_\Omega \lambda e^{u_\lambda} \sim |\log\lambda|$.

1. Introduction and statement of the main result

Chemotaxis is one of the simplest mechanisms for aggregation of biological species. The term refers to a situation where organisms, for instance bacteria, move towards high concentrations of a chemical which they secrete. A basic model in chemotaxis was introduced by Keller and Segel [8]. They considered an advection-diffusion system consisting of two coupled parabolic equations for the concentration of the considered species and that of the chemical released, represented, respectively, by positive quantities $v(x,t)$ and $u(x,t)$ defined on a bounded, smooth domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$ under no-flux boundary conditions. The system reads

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v - \nabla\cdot(v\nabla u) & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \tau\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u - u + v & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where ν denotes the unit inner normal to $\partial\Omega$. Steady states of (1.1) are the positive solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v - \nabla\cdot(v\nabla u) = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \Delta u - u + v = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Problem (1.2) can be reduced to a scalar equation. Indeed, testing the first equation against $(\ln v - u)$, an integration by parts shows that a solution of (1.2) satisfies the relation

$$\int_\Omega v|\nabla(\ln v - u)|^2 = 0$$

Key words and phrases. Keller-Segel system, boundary concentration along the boundary.
[0]The first author has been supported by FONDECYT grant 1010081 and by Fondo Basal CMM, Chile. The second and the third authors have been partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilitá e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

and hence $v = \lambda e^u$ for some positive constant λ, and thus u satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = \lambda e^u, & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Reciprocally, a solution to Problem (1.3) produces one of (1.2) after setting $v = \lambda e^u$. In this paper we consider Problem (1.3) when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $\lambda > 0$ is a small parameter. By integrating both sides of the equation we see that a necessary condition for existence is $\lambda < 1$.

The analysis of Problems (1.1), (1.2) and their corresponding versions in entire space $\mathbb{R}^2$, has a long history, starting with the work by Childress and Percus [3]. The analysis of the steady state problem (1.3) for small λ started with Schaaf [16] in the one-dimensional case. Existence of a radial solution when Ω is a ball, generating a spike shape at the origin when $\lambda \to 0$ was established by Biler [1]. The shape of an unbounded family of solutions u_λ with uniformly bounded masses

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to 0^+} \int_\Omega \lambda e^{u_\lambda} < +\infty$$

was established in [17, 19]. As in the classical analysis by Brezis and Merle [2], blow-up of the family is found to occur at most on a finite number of points $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k \in \Omega$, $\xi_{k+1}, \ldots, \xi_{k+l} \in \partial\Omega$. More precisely, in the sense of measures,

$$-\Delta u_\lambda + u_\lambda = \lambda e^{u_\lambda} \rightharpoonup \sum_{i=1}^{k} 8\pi \delta_{\xi_i} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+l} 4\pi \delta_{\xi_i} \tag{1.4}$$

as $\lambda \to 0$. Here δ_ξ denotes the Dirac mass at the point ξ. Correspondingly, away from those points the leading behavior of u_λ is given by

$$u_\lambda(x) \to \sum_{i=1}^{k} 8\pi G(x, \xi_i) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+l} 4\pi G(x, \xi_i) \tag{1.5}$$

where $G(\cdot, \xi)$ is the Green function for the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta G + G = \delta_\xi, & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

For each given non-negative numbers k and l, A solution u_λ with the properties (1.4) and (1.5) for suitable points ξ_i is proven to exist in [6]. Near each point $\xi = \xi_i$ the leading concentration behavior is given by

$$u_\lambda(x) \sim w(|x - \xi|)$$

where w is a radially symmetric solution of the equation

$$-\Delta w = \lambda e^w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{1.7}$$

namely a function of the form

$$w(r) = \ln \frac{8\mu^2}{(\mu^2 + r^2)^2} - \ln \lambda.$$

where μ is a suitable scalar dependent on λ and the points ξ.

Since u_λ is uniformly bounded away from the points ξ_i, this forces for the parameter μ to satisfy $\mu^2 \sim \lambda$. We observe that all solutions w of (1.7) satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \lambda e^w = 8\pi.$$

Thus, consistently with (1.4), masses are quantized as

$$\int_\Omega \lambda e^{u_\lambda} \to 4\pi(2k+l). \tag{1.8}$$

A natural question is that of analyzing of solutions with large mass, namely solutions u_λ of (1.2) with

$$\int_\Omega \lambda e^{u_\lambda} \to +\infty \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0.$$

It is natural to seek for solutions with property which concentrate not just at points but on a *larger-dimensional set*. The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence of a family of solutions to (1.2) with a *boundary condensation property*, exhibiting a boundary layer behavior along the entire $\partial\Omega$. These solutions satisfy

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{1}{|\ln\lambda|}\int_\Omega \lambda e^{u_\lambda} > 0.$$

Let us formally derive the asymptotic shape of these solutions. Let us parametrize points of space in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ in the form

$$x = \gamma(\theta) + y\nu(\theta)$$

where $\gamma(\theta)$ is a parametrization by θ, arclength of Γ, and $\nu(\theta)$ a corresponding unit inner normal, so that $\dot\nu(\theta) = -\kappa(\theta)\dot\gamma(\theta)$, where κ designates inner normal curvature. We get the following expansion for the Euclidean Laplacian in these coordinates

$$\Delta = \partial_{yy} + \frac{1}{1-k(\theta)y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\left(\frac{1}{1-k(\theta)y}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\right) + \frac{k(\theta)}{1-k(\theta)y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

The solution we look for has a boundary layer, thus large derivatives along the normal and a comparatively smooth behavior along the tangent direction. It is then reasonable to take near $\partial\Omega$ as a first approximation of a solution $u(\theta, z)$ of the equation

$$\Delta u - u + \lambda e^u = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} = 0$$

a function of the form $w(\theta, y)$ where

$$w_{yy} + \lambda e^w = 0, \quad w_y(0) = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

so that for a certain small $\mu = \mu(\theta) > 0$, we have $w = w_\mu$,

$$w_\mu(y) = w(y/\mu) - 2\ln\mu - \ln\lambda \tag{1.10}$$

where $w(y) = \ln 4\frac{e^{\sqrt{2}y}}{\left(1+e^{\sqrt{2}y}\right)^2}$. We make the following ansatz for μ:

$$\mu(\theta) = \varepsilon\tilde\mu_0(\theta)$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\lambda)$ is a small positive number which we shall choose below, and $\tilde\mu_0(\theta)$ is a uniformly positive and bounded smooth function.

Let $\varphi \in C(\bar\Omega)$. A direct computation yields

$$\varepsilon\int_\Omega \lambda e^{w_\mu}\varphi = \int_\Gamma \varphi\tilde\mu_0^{-1}\, d\theta + O(\varepsilon)\ .$$

Thus,

$$\varepsilon\lambda e^{w_\mu} \rightharpoonup \tilde\mu_0^{-1}\delta_\Gamma$$

where δ_Γ is the Dirac measure on the curve Γ.

Then we expect that, globally, $\mathcal{U} = \varepsilon u$ satisfies approximately

$$\Delta \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U} + \tilde{\mu}_0^{-1} \delta_\Gamma = 0,$$

which means in the limit

$$\Delta \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \partial_\nu \mathcal{U} = -\tilde{\mu}_0^{-1} \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Now, from our ansatz (1.10), we should have that close to the boundary

$$\mathcal{U}(\theta, y) \approx \varepsilon w(y/\mu) - 2\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} - \varepsilon \ln \lambda$$

and hence, in particular

$$\mathcal{U}(\theta, 0) \approx \varepsilon \ln \lambda - 2\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon$$

By maximum principle and $\partial_\nu \mathcal{U} = -\tilde{\mu}^{-1} < 0$ the latter relation is consistent in the limit if the constant $\varepsilon \ln \lambda$ approaches a positive number. We choose that limit to be 1. More precisely we take ε exactly as the number ε_λ such that

$$-\varepsilon_\lambda \ln \lambda - 2\varepsilon_\lambda \ln \varepsilon_\lambda = 1 \tag{1.11}$$

so that

$$\varepsilon_\lambda = -\frac{1}{\ln \lambda} + h.o.t.$$

Hence the limiting $\mathcal{U}$ equals $\mathcal{U}_0$, the unique solution of the problem

$$\Delta \mathcal{U}_0 - \mathcal{U}_0 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \mathcal{U}_0 = 1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \tag{1.12}$$

We observe that by maximum principle and Hopf's Lemma, we have that $\partial_\nu \mathcal{U}_0 < 0$, and hence this fixes our choice of $\tilde{\mu}_0(s)$ as

$$\tilde{\mu}_0(s) = -\frac{1}{\partial_\nu \mathcal{U}_0} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Our main result asserts the existence of a solution with exactly the profile above for all λ sufficiently small which remains suitably away from a sequence of critical small values where certain resonance phenomenon occurs.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a domain with smooth boundary. There exists a number $c_* > 0$ such that for any given $c > 0$ and all sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ which in addition satisfies*

$$|k^2 \varepsilon_\lambda^2 - c_*| \geq c\varepsilon_\lambda \qquad \text{for all } \ k \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.13}$$

there exists a solution u_λ to problem (1.3) such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \varepsilon_\lambda u_\lambda = \sqrt{2}\mathcal{U}_0 \qquad C^0 - \text{uniformly on compact sets of } \Omega \tag{1.14}$$

and, in the sense of measures,

$$\varepsilon_\lambda \lambda e^{u_\lambda} \rightharpoonup -(\partial_\nu \mathcal{U}_0)^{-1} \delta_\Gamma,$$

in particular

$$0 < \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{1}{|\ln \lambda|} \int_\Omega \lambda e^{u_\lambda} \, dx < +\infty. \tag{1.15}$$

We actually believe that problem (1.3) has a solution which concentrates along the entire boundary, also in the higher-dimensional case $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $N \geqslant 3$. This fact has been established in the radial case, when Ω is a ball, in [15].

Non-resonance conditions similar to (1.13) to establish the presence of higher dimensional concentration patterns without rotational symmetries have been required in several works in the literature, starting with pioneering works by Malchiodi and Montenegro [11, 12], who prove existence of a concentrating solution u_ε along the boundary for the classical Neumann problem

$$\varepsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^p = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \partial_\nu u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{1.16}$$

with $p > 1$. See also [10], [13], [4] for related results.

A major difference between our problem and (1.16) is that the limiting profile is highly localized in the sense that the limiting solution has an exponentially small decay with ε size off the boundary. Instead in our results the interaction with the inner part of the domain is much stronger, which is reflected in the asymptotic inner profile $\mathcal{U}_0$. The interaction inner-outer problem makes the improvement of approximations considerably more delicate. The construction of an inverse for the approximate linearized operator is in fact quite different because of the presence of slow decay elements in its kernel.

The proof of our result relies on a infinite-dimensional reduction. In Section 2 we set the problem and we give an idea of the proof. In Section 3 we perform the linear theory. In Section 4 we build the approximated solution and in Section 5 we estimate the error. Finally, in Section 6 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Setting of the problem

In this section we set the problem and we sketch the main steps of the proof.

We let $\gamma := \partial\Omega$. We can parametrize γ by the arc length, i.e.

$$\gamma(\theta) := (\gamma_1(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta)), \qquad \theta \in [0, \ell]$$

where $\ell := |\gamma|$.

The tangent vector and the outward normal vector to the point $\gamma(\theta)$ are given by

$$\tau(\theta) := (\dot\gamma_1(\theta), \dot\gamma_2(\theta)); \qquad \nu(\theta) := (-\dot\gamma_2(\theta), \dot\gamma_1(\theta))$$

respectively.
For any $\hat\delta > 0$ we let

$$\mathcal{D}_{\hat\delta} := \left\{ (x_0, x) \in \Omega \ : \ \operatorname{dist}((x_0, x), \gamma) \leq \hat\delta \right\}$$

be a neighbourhood of the curve γ.
If $\hat\delta$ is small enough then for any $(x_0, x) \in \mathcal{D}_{\hat\delta}$ there exists a unique $(\theta, y) \in [0, \ell] \times [-\hat\delta, 0]$ such that

$$(x_0, x) = \gamma(\theta) + y\nu(\theta) = (\gamma_1(\theta) - y\dot\gamma_2(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta) + y\dot\gamma_1(\theta)).$$

It remains defined a C^2- function $J : [0, \ell] \times [-\hat\delta, 0] \to \mathcal{D}_{\hat\delta}$ such that

$$J(\theta, y) = (\theta(x_0, x), y(x_0, x)).$$

If $\bar u = \bar u(\theta, y)$ is a function defined in $[0,\ell]\times[-\hat\delta, 0]$ we can define a function

$$u(x_0, x) = \bar u(\theta(x_0, x), y(x_0, x))$$

and hence in $\mathcal{D}_{\hat\delta}$ the problem (1.3) takes the form

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} -\dfrac{1}{(1-y\kappa(\theta))^2}\partial^2_{00}\bar u - \partial^2_{yy}\bar u - \dfrac{y\dot\kappa(\theta)}{(1-y\kappa(\theta))^3}\partial_0\bar u + \dfrac{\dot\kappa(\theta)}{1-y\kappa(\theta)}\partial_y\bar u + \bar u = \lambda e^{\bar u} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}_{\hat\delta} \\ \\ \partial_y \bar u(\theta, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\kappa(\theta)$ is the curvature at the point $\gamma(\theta)$.

We remark that in these coordinates the points of γ are of the form $(\theta, 0)$.

Before going on, let us define $\varepsilon_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\ln\frac{4}{\varepsilon_\lambda^2} - \ln\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon_\lambda}. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence $\varepsilon_\lambda \to 0$ as $\lambda \to 0$. Moreover we define

$$\mu(\theta) := \varepsilon_\lambda \tilde\mu(\theta) \tag{2.3}$$

where $\tilde\mu(\theta) := \tilde\mu_{\varepsilon_\lambda}(\theta)$ is a known function which is uniformly bounded and smooth that it will be defined in Lemma 5.1.

In what follows we set $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_\lambda$.

Moreover we let $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ the solution of the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(\tilde\mu) & \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(\tilde\mu) := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\ln\tilde\mu^2 + \varepsilon\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tilde\mu(\theta)\nu_2(\theta) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\nu_2(\theta)$ is a function defined in Lemma 4.2.

It is easy to see that the problems (1.12) and (2.4) admit a unique solution. Indeed the following lemmas hold.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a unique positive solution of the problem* (1.12) $\mathcal{U}_0$ *such that*

$$0 < \mathcal{U}_0 < 1 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \partial_\nu\mathcal{U}_0 > 0 \quad \textit{on} \ \ \partial\Omega.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a unique solution $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ of* (2.4). *Moreover for ε small, $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ is positive and*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{U}_0 \qquad C^0 - \textit{uniformly.}$$

We let

$$\tilde\mu_0(\theta) := -\frac{1}{\partial_\nu\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = -\frac{1}{\partial_y\mathcal{U}_0(\theta, 0)}. \tag{2.6}$$

By Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\tilde\mu_0(\theta)$ is well-defined and strictly positive.

A key element of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the construction of the approximation of the solution of our problem.
The main point of the construction is performed close to the boundary of Ω.
So, let us consider the system of coordinates (θ, y). To construct an approximation to a solution of (1.3) we make use of the functions

$$w_\mu(y) = \ln \frac{4}{\mu^2} \frac{e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}}{\left(1 + e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}\right)^2} \tag{2.7}$$

solutions of the problem

$$-\partial^2_{yy} w_\mu = e^{w_\mu}, \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \tag{2.8}$$

where μ is defined as in (2.3).

The function $w_\mu - \ln \lambda$ can be considered as an approximation of a solution of our problem, but unfortunately it is not good enough. Indeed, we have to take an approximation u_1 near γ which in particular satisfies the Neumann boundary condition. Even if the construction near γ is the most complicated case, the solution far from γ cannot be taken equal to zero since zero is not a solution of our problem. Then, we build an approximation u_3 far from γ that involves the solution $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ of the problem (2.4). Next, we glue u_1 and u_3 in the intermediate region just taking a convex combination of them. In order to make the gluing as accurate as possible, we need to choose $\tilde{\mu}(\theta)$ using the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and the relation between λ and ε_λ defined in (2.2). Finally, we define our global approximation $\bar{u}_\lambda$

$$\bar{u}_\lambda = \begin{cases} u_1 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}_\delta \\ u_2 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta \\ u_3 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\delta := \varepsilon^a \qquad a \in \left(\frac{2}{3}, 1\right). \tag{2.10}$$

In $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$ it is natural to consider the change of variables

$$\tilde{u}(\theta, y) = v\left(\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}, \frac{y}{\mu}\right), \qquad v = v(s,t). \tag{2.11}$$

If $v = v(s,t)$, we define

$$\tilde{v}(y_0, \bar{y}, \mu) := v(y_0, \mu^{-1}\bar{y}).$$

We have

$$\tilde{u}(\theta, y) = \tilde{v}(\varepsilon^{-1}\theta, y, \mu).$$

Then we compute

$$\partial_0 \tilde{u} = \varepsilon^{-1}\partial_0 \tilde{v} + \dot{\mu}\partial_\mu \tilde{v} = \varepsilon^{-1}\partial_0 v + \dot{\mu}\mu^{-1}\partial_t v$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^2_{00}\tilde{u} &= \varepsilon^{-2}\partial^2_{00}\tilde{v} + 2\dot{\mu}\varepsilon^{-1}\partial^2_{0\mu}\tilde{v} + \ddot{\mu}\partial_\mu\tilde{\mu} + \dot{\mu}^2\partial^2_{\mu\mu}\tilde{v} \\ &= \varepsilon^{-2}\partial^2_{00} v + 2\dot{\mu}\varepsilon^{-1}\mu^{-1}\partial^2_{0t} v + \ddot{\mu}\mu^{-1}\partial_t v + \dot{\mu}^2\mu^{-2}\partial^2_{tt} v \end{aligned}$$

where the dot stands for the derivative with respect to θ.
Hence by (2.1) we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R}(v) := \tilde{\mu}_0^2(\varepsilon s)\partial_{00}^2 v + \partial_{tt}^2 v + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v) + \lambda\mu^2 e^v = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \\ v\left(s + \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}, t\right) = v(s,t) \\ \partial_t v(s,0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v) \quad := \quad & \underbrace{\left[\frac{\tilde{\mu}^2}{\left(1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)\right)^2} - \tilde{\mu}_0^2\right]}_{b_0(s,t)} \partial_{00}^2 v + \underbrace{\frac{\dot{\mu}^2}{\left(1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)\right)^2}}_{b_1(s,t)} \partial_{tt}^2 v \\ & + \underbrace{\frac{2\varepsilon^{-1}\mu\dot{\mu}}{\left(1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)\right)^2}}_{b_2(s,t)} \partial_{0t}^2 v + \underbrace{\left[\frac{\ddot{\mu}\mu}{\left(1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)\right)^2} + \frac{\mu^2\dot{\mu}t\dot{\kappa}(\varepsilon s)}{\left(1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)\right)^3} + \frac{\mu\kappa(\varepsilon s)}{1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)}\right]}_{b_3(s,t)} \partial_t v \\ & + \underbrace{\frac{\mu^3\varepsilon^{-1}t\dot{\kappa}(\varepsilon s)}{\left(1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)\right)^3}}_{b_4(s,t)} \partial_0 v + \mu^2 v \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_{2\delta} := \left\{(s,t) : \quad s \in \left[0, \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}\right];\ t \in \left[-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}, 0\right]\right\}$$

the dilatation of $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$.

Hence our approximation in a neighbourhood of γ is:

$$\bar{v}_\lambda := \begin{cases} v_1(s,t) & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_\delta \\ v_2(s,t) & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{C}_\delta \end{cases}$$

where $v_j = v_j(s,t) = u_j\left(\frac{\theta}{\varepsilon}, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)$, $j = 1, 2$.

Now we consider first the problem near γ, namely in $\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}$. Looking for a solution of the form

$$v_\lambda = \bar{v}_\lambda + \phi_\lambda$$

then the problem (2.12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\phi_\lambda) \quad &:= \quad \tilde{\mu}_0^2\partial_{00}^2\phi_\lambda + \partial_{tt}^2\phi_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\phi_\lambda) + e^w\phi_\lambda \\ &= \quad \mathcal{R}(\bar{u}_\lambda) + \mathcal{N}(\phi_\lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(\phi_\lambda) := \lambda\mu^2 e^{\bar{v}_\lambda + \phi_\lambda} - \lambda\mu^2 e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} - e^w\phi_\lambda$$

which is an operator quadratic in Φ_λ (we remark that, as proved in [15], $\lambda\mu^2 e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \to e^w$ C^0- uniformly).
It is therefore important to understand bounded solvability of a linear equation involving the operator $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\phi_\lambda)$ has coefficients small for ε small, in order to study (2.14), we first consider the limiting $\mathcal{L}(\phi_\lambda)$, namely

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\phi_\lambda) := \tilde{\mu}_0^2\partial_{00}^2\phi_\lambda + \partial_{tt}^2\phi_\lambda + e^w\phi_\lambda.$$

Indeed, the equation

$$\partial_{00}^2\phi_\lambda + \partial_{tt}^2\phi_\lambda + e^w\phi_\lambda = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

has the bounded solutions

$$Z_1(t) = \partial_t w; \qquad Z_2(t)\cos(\sqrt{\lambda_1}s); \qquad Z_2(t)\sin(\sqrt{\lambda_1}s)$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $Z_2(t)$ are, respectively, the first eigenvalue and the first eigenfunction in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the problem

$$\partial_{tt}^2\phi_\lambda + e^w\phi_\lambda = \lambda_1\phi_\lambda \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.16}$$

As we shall show, these are all the bounded solutions of the equation (2.15).

Let us consider a bounded function h, $\ell-$ periodic in s and we consider the following projected problem in which we mod out the functions Z_i, $i = 1, 2$ and we look for bounded functions $c_i(s)$ and ϕ_λ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi_\lambda) = h + c_1(s)Z_1(t) + c_2(s)Z_2(t) \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \\ \\ \partial_t\phi_\lambda(s,0) = 0 \\ \\ \phi_\lambda\left(s + \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}, t\right) = \phi_\lambda(s,t) \\ \\ \displaystyle\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \phi_\lambda(s,t)Z_i(t)\,dt = 0 \qquad \forall\ s \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

We will establish existence and a priori estimates for problem (2.17) in the following norms:

$$\|\phi_\lambda\|_* := \sup_{\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}}(1 + |t|)^\sigma|\phi_\lambda| + \sup_{\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}}(1 + |t|)^{\sigma+1}|\nabla\phi_\lambda|$$

and

$$\|h\|_{**} := \sup_{\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}}(1 + |t|)^{\sigma+2}|h|$$

with $\sigma \in (1, 2)$.

So we will developed the linear theory only in a neighbourhood of γ. By the way, it enough since we will prove that we can reduce the problem into one defined near γ.
Then we consider the projected nonlinear problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi_\lambda) = -\mathcal{R}(\bar{v}_\lambda) - \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\phi_\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j(s)Z_j(t) \text{ in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \\ \\ \partial_t\phi_\lambda(s,0) = 0 \\ \\ \phi_\lambda\left(s + \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}, t\right) = \phi_\lambda(s,t) \\ \\ \displaystyle\int_{-2\frac{\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \phi_\lambda(s,t)Z_i(t)\,dt = 0 \qquad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^+\ \ i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{N}}(\phi_\lambda)$ contains $\mathcal{N}(\phi_\lambda)$ and other nonlocal terms which encode the information of the rest of the domain. To the problem (2.18) we apply the linear solvability theory and contraction mapping principle to find a unique small solution ϕ_λ. To

have a solution of the original problem (with $c_j \equiv 0$) we need two relations that looks (approximatively) like

$$\int_{-2\frac{\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \mathcal{R}(\bar{u}_\lambda) Z_i(t)\, dt = 0 \;\; i = 1, 2. \tag{2.19}$$

At this point we mention that the approximation u_1 carries as an additive term two functions $\tilde{e}_j^\varepsilon(\varepsilon s) Z_j(t)$, $j = 1, 2$, where $\tilde{e}_j^\varepsilon$ are parameters functions of the form $\tilde{e}_j^\varepsilon(\theta) = \varepsilon^2 e_j(\theta)$ which are $\ell-$ periodic.
It turns out that adjusting conveniently the two parameters e_j, $j = 1, 2$, we can achieve that the relations (2.19) hold as a system of differential equations for these quantities finding at the end a solution of our problem.

3. The linear theory

In this section we perform a linear theory for the operator defined in (2.14) in order to solve problem (2.17).
Let w the function defined as

$$w(y) := \ln 4 \frac{e^{\sqrt{2}y}}{\left(1 + e^{\sqrt{2}y}\right)^2}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.1}$$

solution of

$$-\partial_{yy}^2 w = e^w, \qquad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

After the change of variables performed in (2.11) we study the linearized operator at w

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi_\lambda) = \tilde{\mu}_0^2(\varepsilon s)\partial_{00}^2\phi_\lambda + \partial_{tt}^2\phi_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\phi_\lambda) e^w \phi_\lambda$$

of our problem in a neighbourhood of γ, where $\tilde{\mu}_0$ is defined as in (2.6) and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\phi_\lambda)$ is defined in (2.13).

As anticipated in the previous section, in order to study $\mathcal{L}(\phi_\lambda)$, we need to understand bounded solvability of the limiting $\mathcal{L}(\phi_\lambda)$, namely of the operator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\phi_\lambda) = \tilde{\mu}_0^2\partial_{00}^2\phi_\lambda + \partial_{tt}^2\phi_\lambda + e^w\phi_\lambda.$$

Let us define

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\phi) = \partial_{tt}^2\phi + e^w\phi$$

the linear operator at w of (3.2).
It is well-known that

$$\text{Ker}\mathcal{L}_0 := \{Z_0, Z_1\}$$

where

$$Z_0(t) = 2 + tw_t; \qquad Z_1(t) = w_t = \sqrt{2}\frac{1 - e^{\sqrt{2}t}}{1 + e^{\sqrt{2}t}}.$$

We remark that Z_0 is not bounded. We consider now the associated linearized eigenvalue problem

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\phi_\lambda) = \lambda_1\phi_\lambda \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}$$

and we look for bounded eigenfunctions of the above problem.
This equation posses a positive eigenvalue λ_1 with associated even, positive and bounded eigenfunction $Z_2(t)$ which we normalize so that L^2- norm of Z_2 is equal to one. We observe that Z_2 decays exponentially at infinity with exponential order $O\left(e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_1}|t|}\right)$.
Having understood the point spectrum of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ we have that a consequence of these facts is the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $\xi \notin \{0, \pm\sqrt{\lambda_1}\}$. Then given $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists a unique bounded solution of*

$$(\mathcal{L}_0 - \xi^2)\psi = h \tag{3.3}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover

$$\|\psi\|_\infty \leq C_\xi \|h\|_\infty \tag{3.4}$$

for some constant $C_\xi > 0$ only depending on ξ.

We omit the proof of the above Lemma since it is very similar to that of Lemma 3.1 of [6].

Remark 3.2. *Since the operator $\mathcal{L}_0(\phi_\lambda)$ is such that $|e^w| \leq Ce^{-\sqrt{2}|t|}$, when h is, for instance, a compactly supported function then the solution of* (3.3) *is an exponentially decaying function.*

Let us consider now the operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\phi_\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_0(\phi_\lambda) + \partial^2_{00}\phi_\lambda$$

defined in the whole plane. The equation $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\phi) = 0$ has 3 obvious bounded solutions Z_1, $Z_2\cos(\sqrt{\lambda_1}s)$; $Z_2\sin(\sqrt{\lambda_1}s)$.
The following result shows that the converse is also true.

Lemma 3.3. *Let ϕ a bounded solution of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\phi) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $\phi(s,t)$ is a linear combination of the functions Z_1, $Z_2\cos(\sqrt{\lambda_1}s)$, $Z_2\sin(\sqrt{\lambda_1}s)$.*

For the proof of the above result see [5].

We shall use the previous results in order to obtain a priori estimates and a solvability theory for problem defined in (3.5).

Let ϕ a function defined on $\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}$. For a given function h we want to solve the

following projected problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = h + \sum_{i=1}^{2} c_i(s) Z_i(t) & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \\ \partial_\nu \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \\ \phi\left(s + \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}, t\right) = \phi(s,t) & \\ \displaystyle\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \phi(s,t) Z_j(t)\, dt = 0 \qquad j = 1,2 \qquad \forall\ s \in \mathbb{R}^+. & \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

We will establish existence and a priori estimates for problem (3.5).

Proposition 3.4. *There exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of ε, such that for any h with $\|h\|_{**} < +\infty$, there exists a unique $\phi = \mathcal{T}(h)$ bounded solution of the problem* (3.5) *with $\|\phi\|_* < +\infty$. Moreover the a-priori estimate*

$$\|\phi\|_* \le C \|h\|_{**}. \tag{3.6}$$

holds.

Proof The proof of this result will be carried out in three steps.

Step 1: Let us assume for the moment that in problem (3.5) the functions c_i, $i = 1, 2$ are identically zero.
We will prove that there exits $C > 0$ so that for any h with $\|h\|_{**} < +\infty$ and any ϕ solution of problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) = h_n & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \\ \partial_\nu \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \\ \phi\left(s + \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}, t\right) = \phi(s,t) & \\ \displaystyle\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \phi(s,t) Z_j(t)\, dt = 0 \qquad j = 1,2 \qquad \forall\ s \in \mathbb{R}^+. & \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

with $\|\phi\|_* < +\infty$ we have

$$\|\phi\|_* \le C \|h\|_{**}.$$

By contradiction we assume that there exist sequences $\lambda_n \to 0$, $(h_n)_n$ and $(\phi_n)_n$ solutions of (3.7) where

$$\delta_n = \varepsilon_n^a \qquad \mu_n(\varepsilon_n s) = \varepsilon_n \tilde{\mu}(\varepsilon_n s)$$

such that

$$\|\phi_n\|_* = 1 \qquad\qquad \|h_n\|_{**} \to 0.$$

To achieve a contradiction we will first show that

$$\|\phi_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{C}_{2\delta_n}} \to 0. \tag{3.8}$$

If this was not the case then we may assume that there is a positive number c for which $\|\phi_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{C}_{2\delta_n}} > c$. Since we also know that

$$|\phi(s,t)| \le \frac{c}{(1+|t|)^\sigma},$$

we conclude that for some $A > 0$

$$\|\phi_n\|_{L^\infty(|t|\leq A)} \geq c.$$

Let us fix an s_n such that

$$\|\phi_n(s_n,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(|t|\leq A)} \geq \frac{c}{2}.$$

By elliptic estimates, compactness of Sobolev embeddings and the fact that the coefficients of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\phi_n)$ tends to zero as $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, we see that we may assume that the sequence of functions $\phi(s+s_n,t)$ converges uniformly over compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$, to a nontrivial, bounded solution of

$$\tilde{\mu}_0^\infty \partial_{00}^2 \tilde{\phi} + \partial_{tt}^2 \tilde{\phi} + e^w \tilde{\phi} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

where $\tilde{\mu}_0^\infty$ is a positive constant, which with no loss of generality via scaling, we may assume equal to one. By virtue of Lemma 3.3 and the orthogonality conditions assumed, which pass to the limit thanks to the Dominated Convergence and the fact that $\sigma > 1$, we find then that $\tilde{\phi} \equiv 0$. This is a contradiction that shows the validity of (3.8).

Let us conclude now the result of Step 1.
Since $\|\phi_n\|_* = 1$, there exists (s_n, t_n) with $r_n := |t_n| \to +\infty$ such that

$$r_n^\sigma |\phi_n(s_n,t_n)| + r_n^{\sigma+1} |D\phi_n(s_n,t_n)| \geq c > 0.$$

Let us consider now the scaled function

$$\tilde{\phi}_n(z_0,z) = r_n^\sigma \phi_n(s_n + r_n z_0, r_n z)$$

defined on $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$ given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}} := \left\{ (z_0,z) \ : \ -r_n^{-1} s_n \leq z_0 \leq r_n^{-1}\left(\frac{\ell}{\varepsilon_n} - s_n\right); \quad -\frac{2\delta_n r_n^{-1}}{\mu_n(\varepsilon_n s)} < z < 0 \right\}.$$

Then we have

$$|\tilde{\phi}_n(z_0,z)| + |z||D\tilde{\phi}_n(z_0,z)| \leq |z|^{-\sigma} \qquad \text{in } \bar{\mathcal{D}}$$

and for some z_n with $|z_n| = 1$

$$|\tilde{\phi}_n(0,z_n)| + |D\tilde{\phi}_n(0,z_n)| \geq c > 0.$$

Moreover $\tilde{\phi}_n$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\mu}_{0,n}^2 \partial_{z_0 z_0}^2 \tilde{\phi}_n + \partial_{zz}^2 \tilde{\phi}_n + o(1)\tilde{C}(\tilde{\phi}_n) = \tilde{h}_n \qquad \text{in } \bar{\mathcal{D}}$$

where $\tilde{h}_n(z_0,z) = r_n^{\sigma+2} h_n(s_n + r_n z_0, r_n z)$, $\tilde{\mu}_{0,n} = \tilde{\mu}_0^n(s_n + r_n z_0)$ and $\tilde{C}(\tilde{\phi}_n)$ is bounded.
Since

$$\|\partial_0 \tilde{\mu}_{0,n}^2\|_{\infty,\bar{D}} = O(\varepsilon_n); \qquad \left\| \partial_0 \left(\frac{r_n^{-1} s_n}{\mu_n(\varepsilon_n(s_n + r_n z_0))} \right) \right\|_{\infty,\bar{D}} = O(\varepsilon_n^a);$$

$$\left\| \partial_{00}^2 \left(\frac{r_n^{-1} s_n}{\mu_n(\varepsilon_n(s_n + r_n z_0))} \right) \right\|_{\infty,\bar{D}} = O(\varepsilon_n^{1+a})$$

then, we may assume that

$$\tilde{\mu}_{0,n}^2 \to \tilde{\mu}^* > 0$$

and that the function $\tilde{\phi}_n$ converges uniformly, in C^1- sense over compact subsets of $\mathcal{D}_*$, to $\tilde{\phi}$ which satisfies

$$\tilde{\mu}^* \partial_{z_0 z_0} \tilde{\phi} + \partial_{zz} \tilde{\phi} = 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{D}_* \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_* := (0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)$$

and $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies

$$|\tilde{\phi}(z_0, z)| + |z||D\tilde{\phi}(z_0, z)| \leq |z|^{-\sigma} \tag{3.10}$$

with the boundary condition. With no loss of generality, we may assume that $\tilde{\mu}^* = 1$.
Hence $\tilde{\phi}$ is weakly harmonic in $\mathcal{D}_*$ and, since it satisfies (3.10), it follows that $\tilde{\phi} \equiv 0$. This is a contradiction.

Step 2: We claim that the a priori estimate obtained in Step 1 is valid for the full problem (3.5).To this aim we have to find bounds for the coefficients $c_j(s)$, $j = 1, 2$.
Testing the equation in (3.5) first with Z_1 and then with Z_2 and integrating with respect to dt, we get

$$c_1(s) \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1^2 \, dt = \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \mathcal{L}(\phi) Z_1 \, dt - \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} h Z_1 \, dt - \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} c_2(s) Z_1 Z_2 \, dt \tag{3.11}$$

$$c_2(s) \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_2^2 \, dt = \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \mathcal{L}(\phi) Z_2 \, dt - \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} h Z_2 \, dt - \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} c_1(s) Z_1 Z_2 \, dt. \tag{3.12}$$

By making some computations we get that

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1^2 \, dt = 4\frac{\delta}{\mu} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2\sqrt{2}\frac{\delta}{\mu}}} =: \tilde{M}_1.$$

Moreover since Z_2 decays exponentially

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_2^2 \, dt = 1 + O(e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_1}\frac{\delta}{\mu}}) =: \tilde{M}_2.$$

Hence from (3.11) it follows that for $j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$

$$\begin{aligned} c_j(s) \quad = \quad & \frac{1}{\tilde{M}_j} \left[\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \tilde{\mu}_0^2 \partial_{00}^2 \phi Z_j \, dt + \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \left(\partial_{tt}^2 \phi + e^w \phi \right) Z_j \, dt \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \tilde{A}(\phi) Z_j \, dt - \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} h Z_j \, dt - \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} c_i(s) Z_1 Z_2 \, dt \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\left| \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} h Z_j \, dt \right| \leq \|h\|_{**} \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \frac{1}{(1 + |t|)^{\sigma+2}} \, dt \leq C \|h\|_{**}. \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover

(3.15)
$$\left|c_j(s)\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1Z_2\,dt\right| = |c_j|\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} Z_1Z_2\,dt + \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}} Z_1Z_2\,dt + \int_{0}^{\frac{2\delta}{\mu}} Z_1Z_2\,dt\right| \le |c_j|O(e^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}}).$$

Now by using the boundary condition and the orthogonality condition we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}(\partial_{tt}^2\phi + e^w\phi)Z_j\,dt\right| &= \left|\partial_t\phi Z_j|_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} - \phi\partial_t Z_j|_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}\right| \\ &\le \left|\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}}\left(\partial_t\phi\partial_t Z_j + e^w Z_j\right)\,dt\right| \\ &\le O(e^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}})\|\phi\|_* \qquad (3.16)\end{aligned}$$

Now, since

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}\phi Z_i\,dt = 0$$

if we make twice the $s-$ derivative we get

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}\partial_{00}^2\phi Z_j\,dt = \left[-2\partial_0\left(\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\partial_0\phi Z_j\right]\Big|_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} - \left[\partial_{00}^2\left(\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\phi Z_j\right]\Big|_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}$$

and hence

(3.17)
$$\left|\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}\partial_{00}^2\phi Z_j\,dt\right| \le \varepsilon^a\left|\partial_0\phi\left(s,-2\frac{\delta}{\mu}\right)\right| + \varepsilon^{1+a}\left|\phi\left(s,-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\right| \le C\varepsilon^{(\sigma+1)(1-a)+a}\|\phi\|_*$$

Moreover by using the orthogonality condition we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\phi)Z_j\,dt\right| &= \left|\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} b_0(s,t)\partial_{00}^2\phi_j\,dt + \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} b_1(s,t)\partial_{tt}^2\phi Z_j\,dt\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} b_2(s,t)\partial_{0t}^2\phi Z_j\,dt + \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} b_3(s,t)\partial_t\phi Z_j\,dt + \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} b_4(s,t)\partial_0\phi Z_j\,dt\right|\end{aligned}$$

where b_j are defined in (2.13).
Now

$$\begin{aligned}\left|b_0(s,t)\partial_{00}^2\phi Z_j\,dt\right| &\le \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}|\partial_0 b_0||\partial_0\phi||Z_j|\,dt + \left|b_0\left(s,-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)Z_j\left(-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\partial_0\phi\left(s,-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\right| \\ &\le \varepsilon^{1+(\sigma+1)(1-a)}\|\phi\|_*\end{aligned}$$

$$\left|b_1(s,t)\partial_{tt}^2\phi Z_j\,dt\right| \le \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}|\partial_t b_1 Z_j + b_1\partial_t Z_j||\partial_t\phi|\,dt \le \varepsilon^2\|\phi\|_*$$

$$\left|b_2(s,t)\partial_{0t}^2\phi Z_j\,dt\right| \le \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}|\partial_0 b_2||\partial_t\phi||Z_j|\,dt \le \varepsilon^2\|\phi\|_*$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|b_3(s,t)\partial_t\phi Z_j\,dt| &\leq \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} |b_3||\phi||\partial_t Z_j|\,dt + \left|b_3\left(s,-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right) Z_j\left(-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\phi\left(s,-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\right| \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{1+\sigma(1-a)}\|\phi\|_* \\
|b_4(s,t)\partial_0\phi Z_j\,dt| &\leq \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} |\partial_0 b_4||\phi||Z_j|\,dt + \left|b_4\left(s,-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right) Z_j\left(-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\phi\left(s,-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}\right)\right| \\
&\leq \varepsilon^{1+a+\sigma(1-a)}\|\phi\|_*.
\end{aligned}
$$

hence by (3.13)

$$\|c_1\|_\infty \leq C\|h\|_{**} + \varepsilon^{(\sigma+1)(1-a)+1}\|\phi\|_* \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$\|c_2\|_\infty \leq C\|h\|_{**} + \varepsilon^{(\sigma+1)(1-a)}\|\phi\|_*. \tag{3.19}$$

By Lemma 3.1

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq C\left[\|h\|_{**} + \sum_j \|c_j Z_j\|_{**}\right]$$

and

$$\|c_1 Z_1\|_{**} \leq \|c_1\|_\infty \sup_{\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}} (1+|t|)^{\sigma+2}|Z_1| \leq \varepsilon^{(a-1)(\sigma+2)}\|c_1\|_\infty$$

$$\|c_2 Z_2\|_{**} \leq \|c_2\|_\infty \sup_{\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}} (1+|t|)^{\sigma+2}|Z_2| \leq \|c_2\|_\infty.$$

Hence

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq C\|h\|_{**} + C\|c_1\|_\infty\|Z_1\|_{**} + C\|c_2\|_\infty\|Z_2\|_{**} \leq C\|h\|_{**} + \varepsilon^{(a-1)(\sigma+2)}\|c_1\|_\infty + \tilde{C}\|c_2\|_\infty. \tag{3.20}$$

Combining (3.20) with (3.18) and (3.19) and by using the fact that $a > \frac{2}{3}$, we find that c_j's are controlled by h.

Step 3: We establish now the existence of a solution ϕ for problem (3.5).

We consider the case in which $h(s,t)$ is a T-periodic function in s, for an arbitrarily and large but fixed T. We then look for a weak solution ϕ to (3.5) in H_T defined as the subspace of functions ψ which are in $H^1(B)$ for any B bounded subset of $\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}$, which are T-periodic in s, such that $\partial_\nu\phi = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}$ and so that

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \psi Z_j\,dt = 0 \qquad \forall\ \ \psi \in H^1(B), \qquad j = 1,2.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_T := \{t \in \left[-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}, 0\right]\ :\ s \in (0,T)\}$ and the bilinear form in H_T:

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi,\psi) := \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} \psi\mathcal{L}(\phi)\,dt \qquad \forall\ \psi \in H_T.$$

Then problem (3.5) gets weakly formulated as that of finding $\phi \in H_T$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}(\phi,\psi) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_T} h\phi\,dt \qquad \forall\ \psi \in H_T.$$

If h is smooth, elliptic regularity yields that a weak solution is a classical one.
The weak formulation can be readily put into the form

$$\phi + \mathcal{K}(\phi) = \hat{h} \qquad \text{in } H_T$$

where $\hat{h}$ is a linear operator of h and $\mathcal{K}$ is compact.
The a priori estimate of Step 2 yields that for $h = 0$ only the trivial solution is present. Fredholm alternative thus applies yielding that problem (3.5) is thus solvable in the periodic setting. This is enough for our purpose. However we remark that if we approximate a general h by periodic functions of increasing period and we use uniform estimate we obtain in the limit a solution to the problem. □

4. Construction of the approximate solution

In this section we build the approximate solution for our problem (1.3). As we have already said, we take:

$$\bar{u}_\lambda := \begin{cases} u_1 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}_\delta \\ u_2 & \text{in } \mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta \\ u_3 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2\delta}. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

with

$$u_3 := \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$$

where $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ is the solution of (2.4) and with

$$u_2 := \chi u_1 + (1-\chi)u_3,$$

where χ is a cut-off function such that $\chi = 1$ in $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ and $\chi = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$.

The major difficulty is represented by the construction of the solution near the boundary of Ω.

4.1. **Approximation in $\mathcal{D}_\delta$.** In this section we construct $u_1 := u_1(\theta, y)$. A basic role in the construction of u_1 is determined by the functions $w_\mu(\theta, y)$, solutions of (2.8) given by (2.7).

Since the function $w_\mu - \ln\lambda$ is a bad approximation for our problem, we will add some correction terms which improve the ansatz near the curve γ.
More precisely, we let

$$u_1(\theta, y) = w_\mu(\theta, y) - \ln\lambda + \alpha_\mu(\theta, y) + v_\mu(\theta, y) + \beta_\mu(\theta, y) + z_\mu(\theta, y) + \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j^\varepsilon(\theta) Z_j^\mu(y)$$

where $\alpha_\mu(\theta, y)$ is defined in Lemma 4.1, $v_\mu(\theta, y)$ is defined in Lemma 4.2, $\beta_\mu(\theta, y)$ is defined in Lemma 4.3 and $z_\mu(\theta, y)$ is defined in Lemma 4.4. Moreover, $Z_j^\mu(y) = Z_j(\frac{y}{\mu})$, $j = 1, 2$ with $Z_1(y) = \partial_y w$ and $Z_2(y)$ denotes the first eigenfunction of the problem (2.16).
Finally the functions $e_j^\varepsilon(\theta)$ are defined as follows

$$e_j^\varepsilon(\theta) = \varepsilon^2 e_j(\theta), \qquad j = 1, 2. \tag{4.2}$$

We remark that the functions e_j are unknown and they play the role of parameters. These functions will be chosen later on to be solutions of a system of 2 ordinary

differential equations. For the moment, we assume that e_j are smooth functions with the following norm

$$\|e_j\|_\varepsilon := \|\varepsilon^2 \ddot{e}_j\|_\infty + \|\varepsilon \dot{e}_j\|_\infty + \|e_j\|_\infty \le C \tag{4.3}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of ε.

The first term we have to add is a sort of projection of the function w_μ, namely the function α_μ given in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1. (i) *The Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\partial^2_{yy}\alpha_\mu + \dfrac{\kappa(\theta)}{1-y\kappa(\theta)}\partial_y\alpha_\mu = -\dfrac{\kappa(\theta)}{1-y\kappa(\theta)}\partial_y w_\mu - w_\mu + \ln\lambda \\ \\ \alpha_\mu(\theta,0) = \partial_y\alpha_\mu(\theta,0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

has the solution

$$\alpha_\mu(\theta,y) = -\int_0^y \frac{1}{1-\sigma\kappa(\theta)} \int_0^\sigma (1-\rho\kappa(\theta)) \left[-\frac{\kappa(\theta)}{1-\rho\kappa(\theta} \partial_\rho w_\mu(\theta,\rho) - w_\mu(\theta,\rho) + \ln\lambda \right] d\sigma\, d\rho.$$

(ii) *By making the change of variables* (2.11) *we get that* $\tilde\alpha(s,t) := \alpha_\mu(\varepsilon s, \mu t)$ *satisfies the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\partial^2_{tt}\tilde\alpha + \dfrac{\mu(\varepsilon s)\kappa(\varepsilon s)}{1-\mu(\varepsilon s)t\kappa(\varepsilon s)}\partial_t\tilde\alpha = -\dfrac{\mu(\varepsilon s)\kappa(\varepsilon s)}{1-\mu(\varepsilon s)t\kappa(\varepsilon s)}\partial_t w - \mu^2\left(\ln\dfrac{4}{\varepsilon^2} - \ln\lambda\right) \\ \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad -\mu^2 \ln\dfrac{1}{4\tilde\mu^2} - \mu^2 w(t) \\ \\ \tilde\alpha(\varepsilon s,0) = \partial_t\tilde\alpha(\varepsilon s,0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

(iii) *The following expansion holds*

$$\tilde\alpha(s,t) := \alpha_\mu(\varepsilon s,\mu t) = \mu\alpha_1(\varepsilon s,t) + \mu^2\alpha_2(\varepsilon,t) + O(\varepsilon^3|f(\varepsilon s)g(t)|)$$

where

$$\alpha_1(\varepsilon s,t) = \kappa(\varepsilon s)\int_0^t w(\sigma)\,d\sigma + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\tilde\mu(\varepsilon s)t^2$$

$$\alpha_2(\varepsilon s,t) = \kappa(\varepsilon s)^2\int_0^t \sigma w(\sigma)\,d\sigma + \ln\frac{1}{\tilde\mu^2}\frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t\int_0^\sigma (w(\rho) - \ln 4)\; d\rho\, d\sigma$$

and

$$f(\varepsilon s) = F(\tilde\mu,\kappa); \qquad |g(t)| \le C|t|^3.$$

(iv) *For any* $(\theta,y) \in \mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta$ *it holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\mu(\theta,y) \;&:=\; (\kappa(\theta)\ln 4)y + \frac{y^2}{2}\left[\kappa^2(\theta)\ln 4 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mu}\kappa(\theta) + \left(\ln\frac{4}{\mu^2} - \ln\lambda\right)\right] + \\ &\quad + O\left(f(\kappa,\tilde\mu)|y|^2\right) + O\left(\tilde f(\kappa,\tilde\mu)\frac{|y|^3}{\varepsilon}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof The proof is similar, with suitable modification, to that of Lemma 3.1 of [15]. □

As in [15], $w_\mu - \ln\lambda + \alpha_\mu$ is yet a bad approximation of the solution near the boundary. We add a correction term v_μ, given in the next lemma which solves a linear problem.

Lemma 4.2. *There exists v solution of the linear problem*

$$-\partial^2_{yy} v - e^w v = e^w \alpha_1(\theta, y)$$

such that

$$v(\theta, y) = \nu_1(\theta) y + \nu_2(\theta) + O(e^{-|y|}) \qquad |y| \to +\infty$$

where

$$\nu_1(\theta) := 2\kappa(\theta)(1 - \ln 2) + 2\ln 2\tilde{\mu}(\theta)$$

and

$$\nu_2(\theta) := -\int_{-\infty}^{0} \left(\frac{2}{1 - e^{\sqrt{2}y}} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \alpha_1(\theta, y) \partial_y w e^w \, dy.$$

(ii) *In particular, the function $v_\mu(\theta, y) = \mu v\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)$ solution of*

$$-\partial^2_{yy} v_\mu - e^{w_\mu} v_\mu = \mu e^{w_\mu} \alpha_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) \tag{4.6}$$

is such that

$$v_\mu(\theta, y) = \nu_1(\theta) y + \nu_2(\theta)\mu + O\left(\mu e^{-\frac{|y|}{\mu}}\right) \qquad \mu \to 0.$$

(iii) *Moreover by making a change of variable (2.11) we get that $\tilde{v}(s,t) := v_\mu(\varepsilon s, \mu t) = \mu(\varepsilon s) v(\varepsilon s, t)$ is such that*

$$\tilde{v}(s,t) := \nu_1(\varepsilon s)\mu t + \nu_2(\varepsilon s)\mu + O\left(\mu e^{-|t|}\right) \qquad \mu \to 0$$

and $\tilde{v}$ is a solution of

$$-\partial^2_{tt} \tilde{v} - e^w \tilde{v} = \mu e^w \alpha_1(\varepsilon s, t).$$

Proof See Lemma 3.2 of [15]. □

As we have done for the function w_μ, we have to add the projection of the function v_μ, namely the function β_μ given in the next lemma.

Lemma 4.3. (i) *The Cauchy problem:*

$$\begin{cases} -\partial^2_{yy}\beta_\mu + \dfrac{\kappa(\theta)}{1 - y\kappa(\theta)} \partial_y \beta_\mu = -\dfrac{\kappa(\theta)}{1 - y\kappa(\theta)} \partial_y v_\mu \\ \\ \beta_\mu(\theta, 0) = \partial_y \beta_\mu(\theta, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

has the solution

$$\beta_\mu(\theta, y) = \int_0^y \frac{\kappa(\theta)}{1 - \sigma\kappa(\theta)} \int_0^\sigma (1 - \rho\kappa(\theta)) \partial_\rho v_\mu(\theta, y) \, d\rho \, d\sigma.$$

(ii) *By making the change of variables* (2.11) *we get that* $\tilde{\beta}(s,t) := \beta_\mu(\varepsilon s, \mu t)$ *satisfies the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\partial^2_{tt}\tilde{\beta} + \dfrac{\mu\kappa(\varepsilon s)}{1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)}\partial_t\tilde{\beta} = -\dfrac{\mu\kappa(\varepsilon s)}{1-\mu t\kappa(\varepsilon s)}\partial_t\tilde{v} \\ \\ \tilde{\beta}(\varepsilon s, 0) = \partial_t\tilde{\beta}(\varepsilon s, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

(iii) *The following expansion holds:*

$$\tilde{\beta}(s,t) := \beta_\mu(\varepsilon s, \mu t) = \mu^2\beta_1(\varepsilon s, t) + O(\mu^3 f(\varepsilon s)t^3)$$

where

$$\beta_1(\varepsilon s, t) = \kappa(\varepsilon s)\int_0^t\int_0^\sigma \partial_\rho v_\mu(\varepsilon s, \rho)\, d\rho\, d\sigma.$$

(iv) *For any* $(\theta, y) \in \mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta$ *we have:*

$$\beta_\mu(\theta, y) = \nu_1(\theta)\kappa(\theta)\frac{y^2}{2} + O(f(\tilde{\mu}, \kappa)|y|^3).$$

Proof One can argue as in Lemma 3.4 of [15]. □

At the end we add the function $z_\mu(\theta, y)$ defined in the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *There exists* z *solution of the linear problem*

$$-\partial^2_{yy}z - e^w z = e^w\left[\alpha_2(\theta, y) + \beta_1(\theta, y) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1(\theta, y) + v(\theta, y)\right)^2\right]$$

such that

$$z(\theta, y) = \zeta_1(\theta)y + \zeta_2(\theta) + O(e^{-|y|}) \qquad |y| \to +\infty$$

where

$$\zeta_1(\theta) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{-\infty}^0 h(\theta, y)\partial_y w e^w\, dy$$

with

$$h(\theta, y) = \alpha_2(\theta, y) + \beta_1(\theta, y) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1(\theta, y) + v(\theta, y)\right)^2$$

and

$$\zeta_2(\theta) := -\int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{2}{1-e^{\sqrt{2}y}} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}\right) h(\theta, y)\partial_y w e^w\, dy.$$

(ii) *In particular, the function* $z_\mu(\theta, y) = \mu^2 z\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)$ *solution of*

$$-\partial^2_{yy}v_\mu - e^{w_\mu}v_\mu = \mu^2 e^{w_\mu}\left[\alpha_2\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) + \beta_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) + v\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right)^2\right] \tag{4.9}$$

is such that

$$z_\mu(\theta, y) = \mu\zeta_1(\theta)y + \mu^2\zeta_2(\theta) + O\left(\mu^2 e^{-\frac{|y|}{\mu}}\right) \qquad \mu \to 0.$$

(iii) *Moreover by making a change of variables* (2.11) *we get that* $\tilde{z}(s,t) := z_\mu(\varepsilon s, \mu t) = \mu^2(\varepsilon s) z(\varepsilon s, t)$ *is such that*

$$\tilde{z}(s,t) := \zeta_1(\varepsilon s)\mu^2 t + \zeta_2(\varepsilon s)\mu^2 + O\left(\mu^2 e^{-|t|}\right) \qquad \mu \to 0$$

and $\tilde{z}$ *is a solution of*

$$-\partial^2_{tt}\tilde{z} - e^w \tilde{z} = \mu^2 e^w \left[\alpha_2(\varepsilon s, t) + \beta_1(\varepsilon s, t) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1(\varepsilon s, t) + v(\varepsilon s, t)\right)^2\right].$$

Proof The proof is similar to Lemma 3.5 of [15]. □

After the change of variables (2.11) we get that the approximation $\bar{v}_\lambda$ is defined as follows:

$$\bar{v}_\lambda := \begin{cases} v_1(s,t) & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_\delta \\ \\ v_2(s,t) & \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{C}_\delta \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

where

(4.11)

$$v_1(s,t) := \ln \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} - \ln\lambda + \ln \frac{1}{4\tilde{\mu}(\varepsilon s)^2} + w(t) + \tilde{\alpha}(s,t) + \tilde{v}(s,t) + \tilde{\beta}(s,t) + \tilde{z}(s,t) + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j(\varepsilon s) Z_j(t).$$

and

$$v_2(s,t) := \tilde{\chi} v_1(s,t) + (1-\tilde{\chi}) v_3(s,t) \tag{4.12}$$

where $\tilde{\chi}$ is a cut-off function which is 1 into $\mathcal{C}_\delta$ and 0 outside $\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}$. Moreover

$$v_3(s,t) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon s, \mu t).$$

5. The error estimate

Let us define the error term

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda) := -\Delta \bar{u}_\lambda + \bar{u}_\lambda - \lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda}, \qquad \text{in } \Omega \tag{5.1}$$

where $\bar{u}_\lambda$ is defined as in (4.1).

After the change of variables (2.11) we get that the error term is given by

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda) := \tilde{\mu}_0^2(\varepsilon s)\partial^2_{00}\bar{v}_\lambda + \partial^2_{tt}\bar{v}_\lambda + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{v}_\lambda) + \lambda\mu^2 e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is the operator defined in (2.13).

In Subsection 5.1 we estimate the $L^\infty-$ norm of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda)$, in Subsection 5.2 we give an expansion of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)$. while in Subsection 5.3 we compute the component of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)$ along Z_j, $j = 1, 2$.

5.1. **The estimate of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda)$.** In order to estimate the $L^\infty-$ norm of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda)$ we need some preliminaries.
Indeed, we need an information about the value of the normal derivative of $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ (solution of the problem (2.4)) on $\partial\Omega$. Let us consider the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map as

$$F\left(1+\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(\tilde{\mu})\right) = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2\kappa(\theta) + \tilde{\mu}\ln 4\right) \tag{5.3}$$

The second member of (5.3) is the desidered value of the normal derivative of $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ on $\partial\Omega$.
Let

$$\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta) := -\frac{1}{\partial_y \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0)}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *There exists ε_0 such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0,\varepsilon_0)$ the equation (5.3) has a solution $\tilde{\mu}_\varepsilon$ such that*

$$\tilde{\mu}_\varepsilon \to \tilde{\mu}_0 \qquad C^0- \text{ uniformly} \tag{5.4}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Proof Let us define the following equation

$$H(\varepsilon,\tilde{\mu}) = F\left(1+\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(\tilde{\mu})\right) + \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\left(2\kappa(\theta) + \tilde{\mu}\ln 4\right).$$

We want to find a zero of H. In order to do so we apply the Implicit Function Theorem.
We have that

$$H(0,\tilde{\mu}_0) = F(1) + \frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0} = 0$$

since $F(1) = \partial_\nu \mathcal{U}_0$. Moreover

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \tilde{\mu}}(0,\tilde{\mu}_0) = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0^2} \neq 0.$$

Hence by the Implicit Function Theorem, there exists a unique $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_\varepsilon(\theta) \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{\mu}_0(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\partial_\nu \mathcal{U}_0}|_{\partial\Omega}$.
Moreover, it is easy to see that $\tilde{\mu}_\varepsilon(\theta) \to \tilde{\mu}_0(\theta)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ C^2- uniformly for $\theta \in [0,\ell]$.
□

Now we are ready to evaluate, first, the difference between u_1 and u_3 and of their derivatives.

Lemma 5.2. *We have that for any $(\theta,y) \in \mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta$:*

$$u_1(\theta,y) - u_3(\theta,y) = O\left(e^{-\frac{|y|}{\mu}}\right) + O\left(f_1(\tilde{\mu},\kappa)|y|^2\right) + O\left(f_2(\tilde{\mu},\kappa)\frac{|y|^3}{\mu}\right) \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$\partial_y u_1(\theta,y) - \partial_y u_3(\theta,y) = O\left(\frac{1}{\mu}e^{-\frac{|y|}{\mu}}\right) + O(f_1(\tilde{\mu},\kappa)|y|) + O\left(f_2(\tilde{\mu},\kappa)\frac{|y|^2}{\mu}\right). \tag{5.6}$$

where $f_i(\varepsilon s)$ are some known functions of $\tilde{\mu}$ and κ.

Proof Let us prove the estimate (5.5). The proof of (5.6) is similar.
Since in $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta$ we get

$$w_\mu = \ln \frac{4}{\mu^2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}y}{\mu} + O(e^{-\sqrt{2}\frac{|y|}{\mu}})$$

by using also Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 and the expression of $\varphi(\tilde{\mu})$ in (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(\theta,y) - u_3(\theta,y) &= \left[w_\mu - \ln\lambda + \alpha_\mu + v_\mu + \beta_\mu + z_\mu + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu \right] - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon \\
&= \left[\ln \frac{4}{\varepsilon^2} - \ln\lambda - \ln\tilde{\mu}^2 + \mu\nu_2(\theta) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon} y \left[-\frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}(2\kappa(\theta) + \tilde{\mu}\ln 4) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\frac{y^2}{2}\left[1 - \frac{\kappa(\theta)}{\tilde{\mu}} \right] + O\left(f(\kappa,\tilde{\mu})|y|^2 \right) + O(e^{-\frac{|y|}{\mu}}) \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\left[\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0) + y\partial_y\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0) + \frac{y^2}{2}\partial^2_{yy}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0) \right] \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\partial^3_{yyy}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0)|y|^3 \right) \\
&= \left[\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon} - \ln\tilde{\mu}^2 + \mu\nu_2(\theta) \right] + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon} y \left[-\frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}(2\kappa(\theta) + \tilde{\mu}\ln 4) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\frac{y^2}{2}\left[1 - \frac{\kappa(\theta)}{\tilde{\mu}} \right] + O\left(f(\kappa,\tilde{\mu})|y|^2 \right) + O(e^{-\frac{|y|}{\mu}}) \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\left[1 + \varepsilon\varphi(\tilde{\mu}) + y(-\frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}} + \varepsilon\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(2\kappa(\theta) + \tilde{\mu}\ln 4)) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\frac{y^2}{2}\left[(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0) + \kappa(\theta)\partial_y\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0) - \partial^2_{yy}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0) \right] \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\partial^3_{yyy}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0)|y|^3 \right) \\
&= O(e^{-\sqrt{2}\frac{|y|}{\mu}}) + O(f(\theta)|y|^2) + O(f_1(\theta)\frac{|y|^3}{\varepsilon})
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$ satisfies in $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta$ the equation

$$-\frac{1}{(1-y\kappa)^2}\partial^2_{00}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon - \partial^2_{yy}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon - \frac{y\dot{\kappa}}{(1-y\kappa)^3}\partial_0\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon + \frac{\kappa}{1-y\kappa}\partial_y\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon + \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon = 0$$

and the fact that $\partial^2_{00}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\theta,0) = O(\varepsilon f(\theta))$ and the thesis follows. □

In the next lemma, we prove that the L^∞-norm of $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda)$ is bounded which is useful in Section 6.1.

Lemma 5.3. *There exist $C > 0$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ we have*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda)\|_{\infty,\Omega} \leq C. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof We divide our proof into three steps. First we evaluate the error in $\mathcal{D}_\delta$, then in $\Omega \setminus \mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$ and finally in the intermediate region.

Step 1: Evaluation in $\mathcal{D}_\delta$.

In $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ we have that

$$\bar{u}_\lambda = u_1 = \underbrace{w_\mu - \ln\lambda + \alpha_\mu + v_\mu + \beta_\mu + z_\mu}_{\bar{u}_1} + \varepsilon\mu \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_1) &= \Delta\left(\bar{u}_1 + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu\right) - \left(\bar{u}_1 + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu\right) + \lambda e^{\bar{u}_1 + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu} \\
&= \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_1) + \Delta\left(\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu\right) - \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu \\
&\quad + \lambda e^{\bar{u}_1} \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu + \lambda e^{\bar{u}_1}\left(e^{\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu} - 1 - \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{2} e_j Z_j^\mu\right)
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_1) &= e^{w_\mu}\left(e^{\alpha_\mu + v_\mu + \beta_\mu + z_\mu} - 1 - v_\mu - \mu\alpha_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) - \mu^2\alpha_2\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) - \mu^2\beta_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left. -\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) + v\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right)^2\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{(1-y\kappa(\theta))^2}\partial_{00}^2\bar{u}_1 + \frac{y\dot{\kappa}}{(1-y\kappa)^3}\partial_0\bar{u}_1 - \alpha_\mu - v_\mu - \beta_\mu - z_\mu - \frac{\kappa}{1-y\kappa}\partial_y z_\mu \\
&= \underbrace{e^{w_\mu}\left(\alpha_\mu + \beta_\mu - \mu\alpha_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) - \mu^2\alpha_2\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) - \mu^2\beta_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right.}_{(I)} \\
&\quad \underbrace{\left. -\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_1\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right) + v\left(\theta, \frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right)^2\right)}_{(I)} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\frac{1}{(1-y\kappa(\theta))^2}\partial_{00}^2\bar{u}_1 + \frac{y\dot{\kappa}}{(1-y\kappa)^3}\partial_0\bar{u}_1 - \alpha_\mu - v_\mu - \beta_\mu - z_\mu - \frac{\kappa}{1-y\kappa}\partial_y z_\mu}_{(II)}
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemmas 4.1, 4.3 we get

$$\|(I)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq \varepsilon \left\|\frac{e^w}{\tilde{\mu}^2(\varepsilon s)}|f(\tilde{\mu}(\varepsilon s), \kappa(\varepsilon s)||t|^3\right\|_{\infty,\mathcal{C}_\delta} \leq C(\ell)\varepsilon$$

In order to evaluate the other terms we make first some computations.

$$\partial_0 w_\mu = -\sqrt{2}\frac{\dot\mu}{\mu^2} y \frac{1-e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}}{1+e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}} - 2\frac{\dot\mu}{\mu}$$

and

$$\partial_{00}^2 w_\mu = -4\frac{\dot\mu^2}{\mu^4} t^2 \frac{e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}}{\left(1+e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}\right)^2} + \sqrt{2}\frac{1-e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}}{1+e^{\sqrt{2}\frac{y}{\mu}}} y \frac{-\ddot\mu\mu + 2\dot\mu^2}{\mu^3} - 2\frac{\ddot\mu\mu - \dot\mu^2}{\mu^2}.$$

Hence

$$\|\partial_0 w_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|\partial_{00}^2 w_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} \le C(\ell).$$

Moreover by Lemma 4.1

$$\alpha_\mu = O(\kappa(\theta)y) + O\left(f(\theta)\frac{y^2}{\mu}\right); \quad \partial_0\alpha_\mu = O(\dot\kappa(\theta)y)) + O\left(\dot f(\theta)\frac{y^2}{\mu} + f(\theta)y^2\frac{-\dot\mu}{\mu^2}\right)$$

and

$$\partial_{00}^2\alpha_\mu = O(\ddot\kappa(\theta)y) + O\left(\ddot f(\theta)\frac{y^2}{\mu} + 2\dot f(\theta)y^2\frac{-\dot\mu}{\mu^2} + f(\theta)y^2\frac{-\ddot\mu\mu^2 + 2\mu\dot\mu^2}{\mu^4}\right)$$

and hence since $a > \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$\|\partial_{00}^2\alpha_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|y\dot\kappa\partial_0\alpha_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|\alpha_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} \le C(\ell).$$

By Lemma 4.2

$$v_\mu = O(\nu_1(\theta)y + \mu\nu_2(\theta)); \quad \partial_0 v_\mu = O(\dot\nu_1(\theta)y + \dot\mu\nu_2 + \mu\dot\nu_2);$$

$$\partial_{00}^2 v_\mu = O(\ddot\nu_1(\theta)y + \ddot\mu\nu_2 + 2\dot\mu\dot\nu_2 + \mu\ddot\nu_2);$$

and so also

$$\|\partial_{00}^2 v_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|y\dot\kappa\partial_0 v_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|v_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} \le C(\ell).$$

The same holds for

$$\|\partial_{00}^2\beta_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|y\dot\kappa\partial_0\beta_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|\beta_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} \le C(\ell)$$

and for

$$\|\partial_{00}^2 z_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|y\dot\kappa\partial_0 z_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|z_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|\kappa\partial_y z_\mu\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} \le C(\ell)$$

Hence

$$\|(II)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta} \le C(\ell).$$

Now we want to evaluate the other remaining terms. By making some computations we get

$$\Delta\left(\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{2}e_j(\theta)Z_j^{\mu}(y)\right)-\left(\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{2}e_j(\theta)Z_j^{\mu}(y)\right)+\lambda e^{\bar{u}_1}\left(\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{2}e_j(\theta)Z_j^{\mu}(y)\right)=$$

$$=\underbrace{\frac{1}{(1-y\kappa)^2}\left[\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{2}\ddot{e}_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)+2\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{2}\dot{e}_j\partial_0Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)+\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{2}e_j\partial_{00}^2Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right]}_{(I)}$$

$$+\underbrace{\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j\partial_{yy}^2Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)}_{(II)}+\underbrace{\frac{y\dot{\kappa}}{(1-y\kappa)^3}\left(\varepsilon^2\sum_j\dot{e}_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)+\varepsilon^2\sum e_j\partial_0Z_j\right)}_{(III)}$$

$$+\underbrace{\frac{\kappa}{1-y\kappa}\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j\partial_yZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)}_{(IV)}-\underbrace{\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)+\lambda e^{\bar{u}_1}\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)}_{(V)}.$$

We remark that

$$\partial_0Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)=\partial_yZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)y\frac{\dot{\mu}}{\mu^2};\quad \partial_{00}^2Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)=\partial_{yy}^2Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)y^2\frac{\dot{\mu}^2}{\mu^4}+\partial_yZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)y\frac{\ddot{\mu}\mu^2-2\mu\dot{\mu}^2}{\mu^4}$$

then by using (4.3) we get

$$\|\frac{y\dot{\kappa}}{(1-y\kappa)^3}\varepsilon^2\dot{e}_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta}\le\|\mu\varepsilon^2t\dot{\kappa}(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon^2\dot{e}_j(\varepsilon s)Z_j(t)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{C}_\delta}\le C(\ell)$$

$$\|\frac{y\dot{\kappa}}{(1-y\kappa)^3}\varepsilon^2e_j\partial_0Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta}\le\|\mu\varepsilon^2t\dot{\kappa}(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon e_j(\varepsilon s)\partial_tZ_j(t)\varepsilon\dot{\mu}t\|_{\infty,\mathcal{C}_\delta}\le\varepsilon^4C(\ell).$$

We can do the same for the terms $(I),(II),(III),(IV)$ finding that all are bounded by a positive constant which depends on ℓ.

Moreover

$$(V)=\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j\left(\theta\right)Z_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)\left(\lambda e^{\bar{u}_1}-1\right)=e^w\sum_j e_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)+h.o.t.$$

Hence

$$\|(V)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta}\le C(\ell).$$

Finally

$$\|\lambda e^{\bar{u}_1}\left(e^{\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^2e_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)}-1-\varepsilon^2\sum_{j=1}^{2}e_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta}\le\|e^{w_\mu}\varepsilon^4\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2}e_jZ_j\left(\frac{y}{\mu}\right)\right)^2\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_\delta}\le C(\ell).$$

Step 2: Evaluation in $\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$.
We get, by using (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_3)&=-\lambda e^{u_3}=-\lambda e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon}=-\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon-1)}\\&=-\frac{4}{\varepsilon^2}e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon-\mathcal{U}_0)}e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{U}_0-1)}\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon - \mathcal{U}_0 = O(\varepsilon)$ while by Lemma 2.1 $\mathcal{U}_0 - 1 < C < 0$.
Hence

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_3)\|_{*,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}} \leq C.$$

Step 3 Evaluation in $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta$.
In $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\delta$, the cut-off function $\chi = \chi(y)$ is such that $|\chi(y)| \leq 1$ and $|\partial_y \chi(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$ while $|\partial^2_{yy}\chi(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_2) &= \chi(y)\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_1) + (1-\chi(y))\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_3)\\ &\quad +2\partial_y\chi(\partial_y u_1 - \partial_y u_3) - \partial^2_{yy}\chi(u_1-u_3) + \frac{\kappa(\theta)}{1-y\kappa(\theta)}\partial_y\chi(u_1-u_3)\\ &\quad +\lambda e^{u_1}e^{(1-\chi)(u_3-u_1)} + \lambda\chi e^{u_1}(e^{u_3}-1) - \lambda e^{u_3}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that by making similar computations as in Step 1 and in Step 2 we get that

$$\|\chi\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_1)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} = \|(1-\chi)\mathcal{S}_\lambda(u_3)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} = O(1).$$

Now by using Lemma 5.2 we get

$$\|\partial_y\chi[\partial_y u_1 - \partial_y u_3]\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\|f_1(\tilde{\mu},\kappa)|y|\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta}\|f_2(\tilde{\mu},\kappa)\frac{y^2}{\mu}\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq C(\ell)$$

and the same holds for $\|\partial^2_{yy}\chi(u_1-u_3)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}$ and $\|\partial_y\chi(u_1-u_3)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}$.
Finally

$$\|\lambda e^{u_1}e^{(1-\chi)(u_3-u_1)}\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq \|\lambda e^{u_1}|u_1-u_3|\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq \|e^w t^2\|_{\infty,\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{C}_\delta} \leq C$$

and analogously for the term $\lambda(1-\chi)(1-e^{u_3-u_1})$ and the proof is completed. □

5.2. **The estimate of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)$.** In this section we make an expansion of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)$. By making some computations and we compute the $*-$ norm of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)$. By using Lemmas 4.1, 4.3, 4.2 and 4.4 we get that for ε small

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_1) = \mathcal{R}_1 + \varepsilon^2[\varepsilon^2\tilde{\mu}_0^2\ddot{e}_1(\varepsilon s)]Z_1(t) + \varepsilon^2[\varepsilon^2\tilde{\mu}_0^2\ddot{e}_2(\varepsilon s) + \lambda_1 e_2]Z_2 \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1 &= \frac{\tilde\mu^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}\partial^2_{00}\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde\mu^2}+\tilde\alpha+\tilde\beta+\tilde v+\tilde z\right]\\
&+\frac{\dot\mu^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}\left[\partial^2_{tt}w+\partial^2_{tt}\tilde\alpha+\partial^2_{tt}\tilde v+\partial^2_{tt}\tilde\beta+\partial^2_{tt}\tilde z\right]\\
&+\frac{2\varepsilon^{-1}\mu\dot\mu}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}\partial^2_{0t}\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde\mu^2}+\tilde\alpha+\tilde v+\tilde\beta+\tilde z\right]\\
&+\frac{\ddot\mu\mu}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}[\partial_t w+\partial_t\tilde\alpha+\partial_t\tilde\beta+\partial_t\tilde v+\partial_t\tilde z]\\
&+\frac{\mu^2\dot\mu t\dot\kappa}{(1-\mu t\kappa)}[\partial_t w+\partial_t\tilde\alpha+\partial_t\tilde\beta+\partial_t\tilde v+\partial_t\tilde z]\\
&+\frac{\mu\kappa}{1-\mu t\kappa}\partial_t\tilde z+\frac{\mu^3\varepsilon^{-1}t\dot\kappa}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^3}\partial_0\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde\mu^2}+\tilde\alpha+\tilde v+\tilde\beta+\tilde z\right]\\
&+\mu^2(\tilde\alpha+\tilde v+\tilde\beta+\tilde z)+e^w\left(e^{\tilde\alpha+\tilde v+\tilde\beta+\tilde z}-1-\tilde v-\mu\alpha_1-\tilde z-\mu^2\left(\alpha_2+\beta_1+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1+v)^2\right)\right)\\
&+\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j(\varepsilon s)Z_j(t))+e^{w+\tilde\alpha+\tilde v+\tilde\beta+\tilde z}\left(e^{\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j(\varepsilon s)Z_j(t)}-1\right)-e^w\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j(\varepsilon s)Z_j(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_2)=\mathcal{R}_2+\tilde\chi\varepsilon^2[\varepsilon^2\tilde\mu_0^2\ddot e_1(\varepsilon s)]Z_1(t)+\tilde\chi\varepsilon^2[\varepsilon^2\tilde\mu_0^2\ddot e_2(\varepsilon s)+\lambda_1 e_2]Z_2 \tag{5.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_2 &= \tilde\chi\mathcal{R}_1+(1-\tilde\chi)\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_3)+\lambda\mu^2e^{v_2}-\lambda\mu^2\tilde\chi e^{v_1}-\lambda\mu^2(1-\tilde\chi)e^{v_3}\\
&+[\mathcal{B}(\tilde\chi v_1)-\tilde\chi\mathcal{B}(v_1)]+[\mathcal{B}((1-\tilde\chi)v_3)-(1-\tilde\chi)\mathcal{B}(v_3)]
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(v)=\tilde\mu_0^2\partial^2_{00}v+\partial^2_{tt}v+\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(v).$$

Moreover the following lemma holds

Lemma 5.4. *For any $(s,t)\in\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{C}_\delta$:*

$$v_1(s,t)-v_3(s,t)=O(e^{-|t|})+O(f_1(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon^2|t|^2)+O(f_2(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon^2|t|^3) \tag{5.10}$$

$$\partial_t v_1(s,t)-\partial_t v_3(s,t)=O(e^{-|t|})+O(\tilde f_1(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon|t|)+O(\tilde f_2(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon|t|^2) \tag{5.11}$$

$$\partial_0 v_1(s,t)-\partial_0 v_3(s,t)=O(\hat f_1(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon^3|t|^2)+O(\hat f_2(\varepsilon s)\varepsilon^3|t|^3) \tag{5.12}$$

Proof We proceed as done in the proof of Lemma 5.2. □

Lemma 5.5. *There exists $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$ we get*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar v_\lambda\|_*\le C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+b}$$

for some $b>0$.

Proof Claim 1: $\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_1)\|_*\le C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+b}$.

We analyze every term in (5.8).

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_0\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde{\mu}^2}+\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{v}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{z}\right] &= -2\frac{\dot{\tilde{\mu}}}{\tilde{\mu}}\varepsilon+O(\varepsilon^2 f(\varepsilon s)t^m)\\ &= O(\varepsilon f(\varepsilon s))+O(\varepsilon^2 f(\varepsilon s)t^m)\end{aligned}$$

$m=1,2$.
Hence

$$\left\|\frac{\mu^3\varepsilon^{-1}t\dot{\kappa}}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^3}\partial_0\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde{\mu}^2}+\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{v}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{z}\right]\right\|_*\leq C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+b}.$$

The same we can do for all the other terms as in the proof of Lemma 5.3.

Claim 2: $\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_3)\|_*\leq C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+b}$.

By using (2.2) and Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_3)=-4\tilde{\mu}^2e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}(v_3-1)}=O(e^{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}}).$$

Hence

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_3)\|_*\leq C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+b}$$

for some $b>0$.

Claim 3: $\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_2)\|_*\leq C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+b}$.

Here we use Lemma 5.4 and we make similar computations ad done in Lemma 5.3.

□

5.3. **The projection of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)$.** In this section we compute the component of $\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)$ along Z_j, $j=1,2$.

Lemma 5.6. *There exists $\lambda_0>0$ such that for any $\lambda\in(0,\lambda_0)$, then for any $\theta=\varepsilon s$ with $s\in[0,\frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}]$, the following expansions hold:*

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)Z_1\,dt=\varepsilon^{1+a}\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde{\mu}_0\ddot{e}_1(\varepsilon s)+M_1(\varepsilon s)\right]+\varepsilon^{1+2a}H(\varepsilon s) \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0}\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)Z_2\,dt=\varepsilon^{2}\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde{\mu}_0^2\ddot{e}_2(\varepsilon s)+\lambda_1e_2+M_2(\varepsilon s)\right]+\varepsilon^{3+a}H(\varepsilon s). \tag{5.14}$$

Here

- *M_i's are explicit smooth functions, uniformly bounded in ε;*
- *H denotes a sum of functions of the form*

$$h_0(\varepsilon s)\left[h_1(\tilde{\mu},\dot{\tilde{\mu}}e_1,e_2,\dot{e}_1,\dot{e}_2)+o(1)h_2(\tilde{\mu},\dot{\tilde{\mu}},\ddot{\tilde{\mu}},\dot{e}_1,\dot{e}_2,\ddot{e}_1,\ddot{e}_2)\right]$$

  where
  - *h_0 is a smooth function uniformly bounded in ε;*
  - *h_1 and h_2 are smooth functions of their arguments, uniformly bounded in ε when e_j satisfies (4.3);*
  - *h_2 depends linearly on the argument $(\ddot{e}_1,\ddot{e}_2)$;*

– $o(1) \to 0$ *as* $\varepsilon \to 0$ *uniformly when* e_j *satisfies* (4.3).

Proof First of all we get that by using (5.8) and (5.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar v_\lambda) Z_j\,dt &= \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \mathcal{R}_1 Z_j(t)\,dt}_{I_1^j} + \underbrace{\varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde\mu_0^2\ddot e_1(\varepsilon s)\right]\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1(t)Z_j(t)\,dt}_{I_2^j}\\ &\quad \underbrace{+\varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde\mu_0^2\ddot e_2(\varepsilon s)+\lambda_1 e_2(\varepsilon s)\right]\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_2(t)Z_j(t)\,dt}_{I_3^j}\\ &\quad \underbrace{+\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \left(\mathcal{R}_2-\tilde\chi\mathcal{R}_1\right) Z_j(t)\,dt}_{I_4^j}\end{aligned}$$

We remark

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1^2(t)\,dt &= 4\frac{\delta}{\mu}-\frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}+\frac{8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{1+e^{-2\sqrt{2}\frac{\delta}{\mu}}}\\ &= 4\frac{\varepsilon^{a-1}}{\tilde\mu_0}\left(1+O(f(\tilde\mu_0)\varepsilon)\right)+\frac{4}{\sqrt{2}}+O(e^{-2\sqrt{2}\frac{\delta}{\mu}})\\ &= 4\frac{\varepsilon^{a-1}}{\tilde\mu_0}+O(1)\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_2^2(t)\,dt = 1+O\left(e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_1}\frac{\delta}{\mu}}\right)$$

and

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1(t)Z_2(t)\,dt = O\left(e^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}}\right)$$

- *Evaluation of* I_2^j*:*

We get

$$\begin{aligned}I_2^1 &= \tilde\mu_0^2\varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\ddot e_1(\varepsilon s)\right]\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1^2(t)\,dt = \tilde\mu_0^2\varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\ddot e_1(\varepsilon s)\right]\left(4\frac{\varepsilon^{a-1}}{\tilde\mu_0}+O(1)\right)\\ &= \varepsilon^{1+a}\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde\mu_0\ddot e_1(\varepsilon s)\right]+O(\varepsilon^2)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_2^2 = \varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde\mu_0^2\ddot e_1(\varepsilon s)\right]\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1(t)Z_2(t)\,dt = O\left(\varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}}\right).$$

- *Evaluation of* I_3^j*:*

$$I_3^1 = \varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde\mu_0^2\ddot e_2(\varepsilon s)+\lambda_1 e_2(\varepsilon s)\right]\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} Z_1(t)Z_2(t)\,dt = O(\varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}})$$

and

$$I_3^2 = \varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde{\mu}_0^2\ddot{e}_2(\varepsilon s)+\lambda_1 e_2(\varepsilon s)\right]\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 Z_2^2(t)\,dt = \varepsilon^2\left[\varepsilon^2\tilde{\mu}_0^2\ddot{e}_2(\varepsilon s)+\lambda_1 e_2(\varepsilon s)\right]+O(\varepsilon^2 e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_1}\frac{\delta}{\mu}}).$$

- *Evaluation of I_1^j:*

Let $\tilde{H} := \tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{v}+\tilde{z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^j \;=\; & \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{\tilde{\mu}^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}\partial_{00}^2\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde{\mu}^2}+\tilde{H}\right]Z_j\,dt}_{A_1^j} + \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{\dot{\mu}^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}\left[\partial_{tt}^2 w+\partial_{tt}^2\tilde{H}\right]Z_j\,dt}_{A_2^j} \\
& + \underbrace{2\varepsilon^{-1}\mu\dot{\mu}\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{1}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}\partial_{0t}^2\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde{\mu}^2}+\tilde{H}\right]Z_j\,dt}_{A_3^j} + \underbrace{\ddot{\mu}\mu\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{1}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}\left[\partial_t w+\partial_t\tilde{H}\right]Z_j\,dt}_{A_4^j} \\
& + \underbrace{\mu^2\dot{\mu}\dot{\kappa}\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{t}{(1-\mu t\kappa)}\left[\partial_t w+\partial_t\tilde{H}\right]Z_j\,dt}_{A_5^j} + \underbrace{\mu\kappa\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{1}{1-\mu t\kappa}\partial_t\tilde{z}Z_j\,dt}_{A_6^j} \\
& + \underbrace{\mu^3\varepsilon^{-1}\dot{\kappa}\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{t}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^3}\partial_0\left[\ln\frac{1}{4\tilde{\mu}^2}+\tilde{H}\right]Z_j\,dt}_{A_7^j} + \underbrace{\mu^2\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \tilde{H}Z_1\,dt}_{A_8^j} \\
& + \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 e^w\left(e^{\tilde{H}}-1-\tilde{v}-\mu\alpha_1-\tilde{z}-\mu^2\left(\alpha_2+\beta_1+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1+v)^2\right)\right)Z_j\,dt}_{A_9^j} \\
& + \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\left(\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j Z_j\right)Z_j\,dt}_{A_{10}^j} + \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 e^{w+\tilde{H}}\left(e^{\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j Z_j}-1-\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j Z_j\right)Z_j\,dt}_{A_{11}^j} \\
& - \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 e^w\varepsilon^2\sum_j e_j Z_j(e^{\tilde{H}}-1)Z_j\,dt}_{A_{12}^j}
\end{aligned}$$

Now since $\partial_t\tilde{H} = O(\varepsilon f(\varepsilon s)t^m)$, $m=0,1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_5^j| &\le \varepsilon^3\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 tZ_1Z_j\,dt+\varepsilon^3\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 t\partial_t\tilde{H}Z_j\,dt \\
&= \begin{cases} C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+2a} & \text{for } j=1 \\ C(\ell)\varepsilon^{3+a} & \text{for } j=2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$|A_6^j| \le C(\ell)\varepsilon^3\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 Z_j\,dt = \begin{cases} C(\ell)\varepsilon^{2+a} & \text{for } j=1 \\ C(\ell)\varepsilon^{3+a} & \text{for } j=2. \end{cases}$$

Since $\partial_0 \ln \frac{1}{4\tilde\mu^2} = O(\varepsilon f(\varepsilon s))$ and $\partial_0 \tilde H = O(\varepsilon^2 f_1(\varepsilon s) t^m)$ $m = 1, 2$ then

$$|A_7^j| \le C(\ell)\varepsilon^2 \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \left(\varepsilon f(\varepsilon s) + \varepsilon^2 f_1(\varepsilon s) t^m\right) Z_j \, dt \le \begin{cases} C(\ell)\varepsilon^{1+3a} & \text{for } j = 1 \\ \\ C(\ell)\varepsilon^{3+a} & \text{for } j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Similar estimates hold also for $A_3^j, A_8^j, A_9^j, A_{10}^j, A_{11}^j$ and A_{12}^j.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^j &= -2\varepsilon^2 \frac{\ddot{\tilde\mu}\tilde\mu - \dot{\tilde\mu}^2}{\tilde\mu^2} \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{1}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2} Z_j \, dt + \tilde\mu^2 \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \frac{1}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2} \partial_{00}^2 \tilde H Z_j \, dt \\ &= \varepsilon^2 B_1(\varepsilon s) \left[\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 Z_j \, dt + o(1) h(\varepsilon s)\right] + \tilde\mu^2 \varepsilon^3 B_2(\varepsilon s) \left[\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 Z_j \, dt + o(1)\hat h(\varepsilon s)\right] \\ &= \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1+a} B_1(\varepsilon s) + o(\varepsilon^{1+a}) h(\varepsilon s) & \text{for } j = 1 \\ \\ \varepsilon^2 B_1(\varepsilon s) + o(\varepsilon^3)\hat h(\varepsilon s) & \text{for } j = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$A_2^j = \begin{cases} o(\varepsilon^2) h(\varepsilon s) & \text{for } j = 1 \\ \\ \varepsilon^2 B_2(\varepsilon s) + o(\varepsilon^2)\hat h(\varepsilon s) & \text{for } j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Since $\partial_t \tilde H = O(\varepsilon f(\varepsilon s) t^m)$ with $m = 0, 1$ we get

$$A_4^j = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1+a} B_4(\varepsilon s) + o(\varepsilon^{1+a}) & \text{for } j = 1 \\ \\ o(\varepsilon^3)\hat h(\varepsilon s) & \text{for } j = 2. \end{cases}$$

- *Evaluation of I_4^j:*

$$\begin{aligned} I_4^j &= \underbrace{2\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} (1-\tilde\chi)\mathcal{R}_\lambda(v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_1^j} + \underbrace{\lambda\mu^2 \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} e^{v_1} e^{(1-\tilde\chi)(v_1-v_3)} Z_j \, dt}_{B_2^j} \\ &+ \underbrace{\lambda\mu^2 \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \tilde\chi e^{v_1}(1 - e^{v_3 - v_1}) Z_j \, dt}_{B_3^j} + \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \frac{\tilde\mu^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2} \partial_{00}^2 \tilde\chi (v_1 - v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_4^j} \\ &+ \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \frac{2\tilde\mu^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2} \partial_0 \tilde\chi (\partial_0 v_1 - \partial_0 v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_5^j} + \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \partial_{tt}^2 \tilde\chi (v_1 - v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_6^j} \\ &+ \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} 2\partial_t \tilde\chi (\partial_t v_1 - \partial_t v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_7^j} + \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \frac{\dot\mu^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2} \partial_{tt}^2 \tilde\chi (v_1 - v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_8^j} \\ &+ \underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \frac{2\dot\mu^2}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2} \partial_t \tilde\chi (\partial_t v_1 - \partial_t v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_9^j} + \underbrace{2\varepsilon^{-1}\mu\dot\mu \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}} \frac{1}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2} \partial_{0t}^2 \tilde\chi (\partial_0 v_1 - \partial_0 v_3) Z_j \, dt}_{B_{10}^j} \end{aligned}$$

$$+\underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}}\left[\frac{\ddot{\mu}\mu}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^2}+\frac{\mu^2\dot{\mu}t\dot{\kappa}}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^3}+\frac{\mu\kappa}{1-\mu t\kappa}\right]\partial_t\tilde{\chi}(v_1-v_3)Z_j\,dt}_{B_{11}^j}$$

$$+\underbrace{\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{-\frac{\delta}{\mu}}\frac{\mu^3\varepsilon^{-1}t\dot{\kappa}}{(1-\mu t\kappa)^3}\partial_0\tilde{\chi}(v_1-v_3)Z_j\,dt}_{B_{12}^j}$$

By making similar computations as done for A_i^j we get that B_i^j for $i = 1, 4, \dots, 12$ and B_2^1, B_3^1 are higher order terms while

$$B_2^2 + B_3^2 = \varepsilon^2 B_5(\varepsilon s) + h.o.t.$$

□

6. The infinite-dimensional problem

6.1. **The gluing procedure.** Let $\bar{u}_\lambda$ the approximate solution constructed in Section 4.
We look for solutions of (1.3) of the form

$$\bar{u}_\lambda + \Phi_\lambda$$

where Φ_λ is globally defined in Ω.
Then the equation

$$-\Delta(\bar{u}_\lambda + \Phi_\lambda) + (\bar{u}_\lambda + \Phi_\lambda) = \lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda + \Phi_\lambda}$$

is equivalent to

$$L(\Phi_\lambda) = -\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda) - N(\Phi_\lambda) \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$L(\Phi_\lambda) = \Delta\Phi_\lambda - \Phi_\lambda + \lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda}\Phi_\lambda; \qquad N(\Phi_\lambda) = \lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda}[e^{\Phi_\lambda} - 1 - \Phi_\lambda]$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(\bar{u}_\lambda) = -\Delta\bar{u}_\lambda + \bar{u}_\lambda - \lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda}.$$

To find Φ_λ we decompose problem (6.1) into an *interior* problem (namely near γ) that can be handled with the help of the linear theory developed in Section 3 and into an *exterior* problem (namely away from the γ) and glue the solutions of these sub-problems.

Hence we look for a solution of (1.3) of the form

$$\Phi_\lambda = \eta_\delta\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\psi} \tag{6.2}$$

where η_δ is a cut-off function which is 1 in $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ and zero outside $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$.
Hence substituting (6.2) into (6.1) we get

$$L(\eta_\delta\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\psi}) = -\mathcal{S}(\bar{u}_\lambda) - N(\eta_\delta\tilde{\phi} + \tilde{\psi})$$

and arranging terms we find a solutions to problem (6.1) if we solve the following nonlinear coupled system

$$
\begin{cases}
L(\tilde\phi) = -\eta_\delta \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda) - \eta_\delta N(\eta_\delta\tilde\phi + \tilde\psi) - \eta_\delta \lambda e^{\bar u_\lambda}\tilde\psi & \text{in } \mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \\
\\
\partial_\nu \tilde\phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D}_{2\delta} \\
\Delta\tilde\psi - \tilde\psi + (1-\eta_\delta)\lambda e^{\bar u_\lambda}\tilde\psi = -(1-\eta_\delta)\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda) - (1-\eta_\delta)N(\eta_\delta\tilde\phi+\tilde\psi) & \\
\qquad\qquad -2\nabla\eta_\delta\nabla\tilde\phi - \tilde\phi\Delta\eta_\delta & \text{in } \Omega \\
\\
\partial_\nu\tilde\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}
\tag{6.3}
$$

Given Φ_λ with small $\|\tilde\phi\|_\infty$ and small $\|\nabla\tilde\phi\|_\infty$, we first solve the second equation in the problem (6.3) for $\tilde\psi$.

Lemma 6.1. *There exists $r>0$ such that for any Φ_λ such that the corresponding $\tilde\phi$ is defined only in $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$ with $\|\tilde\phi\|_\infty \le r$, $\|\nabla\tilde\phi\|_\infty \le r$ there exists a unique $\tilde\psi = \tilde\psi(\tilde\phi)$ solution of the second equation of* (6.3).

Proof Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}
\Delta\tilde\psi - [1-H_\lambda]\tilde\psi = g & \text{in } \Omega \\
\partial_\nu\tilde\psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega
\end{cases}
\tag{6.4}
$$

where

$$H_\lambda := (1-\eta_\delta)\lambda e^{\bar u_\lambda}$$

and

$$g := -(1-\eta_\delta)\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda) - (1-\eta_\delta)N(\eta_\delta\tilde\phi+\tilde\psi) - 2\nabla\eta_\delta\nabla\tilde\phi - \tilde\phi\Delta\eta_\delta.$$

If H_λ is uniformly small then problem (6.4) has a unique bounded solution provided $\|g\|_\infty < +\infty$.

Moreover

$$\|\tilde\psi\|_\infty \le C\|g\|_\infty. \tag{6.5}$$

First we show that H_λ is uniformly small.
Let us observe that in $\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}$, from Section 4,

$$\bar u_\lambda = u_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon$$

and hence λe^{u_3} decays exponentially. Moreover in $\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta$, $\bar u_\lambda = u_2 = \chi u_1 + (1-\chi)u_3$ and

$$\lambda e^{u_2} = \lambda e^{u_1} e^{(\chi-1)(u_1-u_3)} = O(\lambda e^{u_1}|u_1-u_3|)$$

and by Lemma 5.5

$$\|\lambda e^{u_2}\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \le \|e^w t^2\|_{\infty,\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{C}_\delta} \le \|e^w t^2\|_{*,\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{C}_\delta} \sup_{|t|>\frac{\delta}{\mu}} (1+|t|)^{-\sigma} \le C\varepsilon^{\sigma(1-a)}.$$

Now we have to prove that $\|g\|_\infty$ is bounded.
So

$$\|(1-\eta_\delta)\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda)\|_\infty = \|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda)\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} + \|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}.$$

By Lemma 5.3 we get

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda)\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}} = \|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(u_3)\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}} \le C.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 5.3

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} = \|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(u_2)\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq C$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|(1-\eta_\delta)N(\tilde{\phi})\|_\infty &= \|N(\tilde{\phi})\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}\\ &\leq \|\lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda}(e^{\tilde{\phi}}-1-\tilde{\phi})\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}} + \|\lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda}(e^{\tilde{\phi}}-1-\tilde{\phi})\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}\\ &\leq \|\lambda e^{u_3}\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}}\|\tilde{\phi}\|^2_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}} + \|\lambda e^{u_2}\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}\|\tilde{\phi}\|^2_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}\\ &\leq C\end{aligned}$$

since $\|\lambda e^{u_i}\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}$ and $\|\tilde{\phi}\|_{\infty,\Omega\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}$ are small.
At the end

$$\|\nabla\eta_\delta\nabla\tilde{\phi}\|_\infty = \|\nabla\eta_\delta\nabla\tilde{\phi}\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}\|\nabla\tilde{\phi}\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\phi}\Delta\eta_\delta\|_\infty = \frac{1}{\delta^2}\|\tilde{\phi}\Delta\eta_\delta\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2}\|\nabla\tilde{\phi}\|_{\infty,\mathcal{D}_{2\delta}\setminus\mathcal{D}_\delta}$$

which is bounded if $r = O(\delta^2)$.
Hence we have proved the existence of a solution $\tilde{\psi}_1 = \tilde{\psi}_1(\tilde{\phi})$ of
(6.6)

$$\Delta\tilde{\psi}_1 - [1-(1-\eta_\delta)\lambda e^{u_\lambda}]\tilde{\psi}_1 = -(1-\eta_\delta)\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{u}_\lambda) - (1-\eta_\delta)N(\eta_\delta\tilde{\phi}) - 2\nabla\eta_\delta\nabla\tilde{\phi} - \tilde{\phi}\Delta\eta_\delta$$

together with the boundary condition.
Let now $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}_1 + \tilde{\psi}_2$ where $\partial_\nu\tilde{\psi}_2 = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and

$$\Delta\tilde{\psi}_2 - [1-(1-\eta_\delta)\lambda e^{\bar{u}_\lambda}]\tilde{\psi}_2 = -(1-\eta_\delta)[N(\eta_\delta\tilde{\phi}+\tilde{\psi}_1+\tilde{\psi}_2) - N(\eta_\delta\tilde{\phi})].$$

Since N has a power-like behaviour with power greater than one, a direct application of contraction mapping principle yields that $\tilde{\psi}_2$ exists and it is unique. Hence the second equation of the problem (6.3) ha a unique bounded solution $\tilde{\psi} = \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\phi})$. Moreover

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_2\| = O(\|\tilde{\psi}_1\|). \tag{6.7}$$

□

By making the change of variable performed in (2.11) in (6.3) we get that the system (6.3) can be written as
(6.8)

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\tilde{\eta}\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda) - \tilde{\eta}\hat{N}(\tilde{\eta}\phi+\psi) + \tilde{\eta}e^w\psi\\ \\ \partial^2_{00}\psi + \partial^2_{tt}\psi + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\psi) + (1-\tilde{\eta})e^w\psi = -(1-\tilde{\eta})\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda) - (1-\tilde{\eta})\hat{N}(\tilde{\eta}\phi+\psi) +\\ \qquad\qquad + [B(\tilde{\eta}\phi) - B(\phi)]\end{cases}$$

where

$$\hat{N}(\tilde{\eta}\phi+\psi) = \lambda\mu^2 e^{\bar{v}_\lambda}\left(e^{\tilde{\eta}\phi+\psi}-1\right) - e^w(\tilde{\eta}\phi+\psi)$$

and

$$B(\phi) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\phi) + \tilde{\mu}_0^2\partial^2_{00}\phi + \partial^2_{tt}\phi$$

together with the boundary conditions.

Let $\psi = \psi(\phi)$ the solution of the second equation in (6.8). Then the following Lemma holds.

Lemma 6.2. *For any $R > 0$ and any ϕ such that $\|\phi\|_* \le \varepsilon^{1+b}$ then*

$$\|\psi(\phi)\|_\infty \le R\varepsilon^{\sigma(1-a)+b}\|\phi\|_*. \tag{6.9}$$

Moreover, the nonlinear operator ψ satisfies a Lipschitz condition of the form

$$\|\psi(\phi_1) - \psi(\phi_2)\|_\infty \le c\varepsilon^{\sigma(1-a)+b}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_* \tag{6.10}$$

for some positive constant c independent of ε and for some $b > 0$.

Proof We let ϕ such that $\|\phi\|_* \le c\varepsilon^{1+b}$ for some $b > 0$. By (6.5) it follows that in $\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}$:

$$\|\psi(\phi)\|_\infty \le C\|\tilde g\|_\infty$$

where

$$\tilde g := -(1-\tilde\eta)\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar v_\lambda) - (1-\tilde\eta)\hat N(\tilde\eta\phi + \psi) + [B(\tilde\eta\phi) - B(\phi)]\,.$$

Now

$$\|(1-\tilde\eta_\delta\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar v_\lambda)\|_\infty \le \|\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar v_\lambda)\|_* \sup_{|t|>\frac{\delta}{\mu}} (1+|t|)^{-\sigma} \le C\varepsilon^{1+\sigma(1-a)}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(1-\tilde\eta_\delta)\hat N(\tilde\eta_\delta\phi)\|_\infty &\le \|\lambda\mu^2 e^{\bar v_\lambda}\left(e^\phi - 1 - \phi\right)\|_\infty + \|e^w\phi\left(e^{\tilde\alpha+\tilde v+\tilde\beta+\tilde z} - 1\right)\|_\infty \\
&\le \|\phi\|_*^2 \sup_{|t|>\frac{\delta}{\mu}} e^w(1+|t|)^{-2\sigma} + \varepsilon\|\phi\|_* \sup_{|t|>\frac{\delta}{\mu}} e^w(1+|t|)^{-\sigma} \\
&\le C\varepsilon^{1+b}\|\phi\|_* + C\varepsilon\|\phi\|_*
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|B(\tilde\eta\phi) - B(\phi)\|_\infty \le C\varepsilon^{\sigma(1-a)+b}\|\phi\|_*.$$

By using also (6.7) we get the conclusion. □

Summing up, we get that the full problem has been reduced to solving the (nonlocal) problem in $\mathcal{C}_{2\delta}$, namely in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\tilde\eta_\delta\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar u_\lambda) - \tilde\eta_\delta\mathcal{N}_1(\phi) \tag{6.11}$$

with the boundary condition where

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\phi) = \hat N(\tilde\eta\phi + \psi(\phi)) + \tilde\eta e^w\psi(\phi).$$

6.2. **The nonlinear projected problem.** This section is devoted to solve a projected problem associated to (6.11).
Given μ and e_j, $j = 1, 2$, satisfying (2.3) and (4.3), the projected problem we want to solve is: find functions ϕ, $c_j(s)$, $j = 1, 2$ so that

$$\mathcal{L}(\phi) = -\tilde\eta_\delta\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar v_\lambda) - \tilde\eta_\delta\mathcal{N}_1(\phi) + \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j Z_j \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{C}_{2\delta} \tag{6.12}$$

together with the boundary, the periodic and the orthogonality conditions.

By Proposition 3.4 $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ is invertible. Hence solving (6.12) together with boundary, the periodic and orthogonality conditions reduces to solve a fixed point problem, namely

$$\phi = T(-\tilde\eta_\delta\mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar v_\lambda) - \tilde\eta_\delta\mathcal{N}_1(\phi)) = \mathcal{A}(\phi) \tag{6.13}$$

where T is the operator defined in Proposition 3.4.
We will prove the following result.

Proposition 6.3. *There exist a number $c > 0$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ and all e_j, $j = 1, 2$ satisfying* (4.3)*, the problem* (6.12) *has a unique solution $\phi = \phi_1(e_j)$ and $c_j = c_j(e_j)$ which satisfies*

$$\|\phi\|_* \leq c\varepsilon^{1+b}, \qquad b > 0. \tag{6.14}$$

Proof Let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{\phi \ : \ \|\phi\|_* \leq c\varepsilon^{1+b}\right\}$$

for a certain positive constant c.
We first show that $\mathcal{A}$ maps $\mathcal{M}$ into itself.
Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$. Then by using Lemma 5.5

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\phi)\|_* \leq C\|\tilde{\eta}_\delta \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda) + \mathcal{N}_1(\phi)\|_{**} \leq c\varepsilon^{1+b} + c\|\mathcal{N}_1(\phi)\|_{**}.$$

We evaluate $\|\mathcal{N}_1(\phi)\|_{**}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{N}_1(\phi)\|_{**} &\leq C\|e^{w+\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{v}+\tilde{z}}\left(\tilde{\eta}\phi+\psi\right)^2\|_{**} + \|e^w(\tilde{\eta}\phi+\psi)(e^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{v}+\tilde{z}}-1)\|_{**} \\
&\quad + \|\tilde{\eta}e^w\psi\|_{**} \\
&\leq C(\|\phi\|_*^2 + \|\psi\|_*^2) + \varepsilon(\|\phi\|_* + \|\psi\|_*) + \|\psi\|_* \\
&\leq \varepsilon^b\|\phi\|_*
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\|\psi(\phi)\|_* \leq \|\psi(\phi)\|_\infty \sup_{|t|<\frac{\delta}{\mu}} (1+|t|)^\sigma \leq \varepsilon^b\|\phi\|_*$$

by Lemma 6.9.
We next prove that $\mathcal{A}$ is a contraction, so that the fixed point problem (6.13) can be uniquely solved in $\mathcal{M}$.
Indeed, for any ϕ_1, $\phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{A}(\phi_1) - \mathcal{A}(\phi_2)\|_* &\leq C\|\mathcal{N}_1(\phi_1) - \mathcal{N}_1(\phi_2)\|_{**} \\
&\leq \|e^{w+\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{v}+\tilde{z}}\left(e^{\tilde{\eta}\phi_1+\psi_1} - e^{\tilde{\eta}\phi_2+\psi_2} - \tilde{\eta}\phi_1 - \psi_1 + \tilde{\eta}\phi_2 + \psi_2\right)\|_{**} \\
&\quad + \|e^w\left(e^{\tilde{\alpha}+\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{v}+\tilde{z}} - 1\right)\left(\tilde{\eta}\phi_1 + \psi_1 - \tilde{\eta}\phi_2 - \psi_2\right)\|_{**} + \|\tilde{\eta}e^w(\psi_1-\psi_2)\|_{**} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^b\|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_* + \max_j\|\phi_j\|_*\|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_* + \max_j\|\psi_j\|_*\|\psi_1-\psi_2\|_* \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^b\|\phi_1-\phi_2\|_*
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is a contraction. □

6.3. **The final adjustment of the parameters: Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We find two equations relating e_1 and e_2 to get all the coefficients c_j in (6.12) identically equal to zero. To do this, we multiply equation (6.12) by Z_j for $j = 1, 2$ and we integrate in t. Thus the system

$$c_j(\varepsilon s) = 0, \qquad j = 1, 2$$

is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \mathcal{R}_\lambda(\bar{v}_\lambda)Z_j\,dt + \int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^0 \left(\mathcal{L}(\phi) - \mathcal{N}_1(\phi)\right)Z_j\,dt = 0, \qquad j = 1, 2,$$

for any $s \in \left[0, \frac{\ell}{\varepsilon}\right]$.
By Proposition 6.3 it follows that

$$\int_{-\frac{2\delta}{\mu}}^{0} \left(\mathcal{L}(\phi) - \mathcal{N}_1(\phi)\right) Z_j \, dt = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{1+2a} H \\ \varepsilon^{3+a} H \end{cases}$$

where H is as in Lemma 5.3.
Hence the equations $c_j = 0$ are equivalent to the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} L_1(e_1) := \varepsilon^2 \ddot{e}_1 = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0} M_1(\varepsilon s) + \varepsilon^a T_1 \\ \\ L_2(e_2) := \varepsilon^2 \tilde{\mu}_0^2 \ddot{e}_2(\varepsilon s) + \lambda_1 e_2 = -M_2(\varepsilon s) + \varepsilon^{1+a} T_2 \end{cases} \tag{6.15}$$

where $e_j \in C^2_\ell(\mathbb{R})$ and

- The functions M_i are explicit functions of εs, smooth and uniformly bounded in ε given in Lemma 5.3;
- The operators $T_i = T_i(e_1, e_2)$ can be decomposed as $T_i(e_1, e_2) = A_i(e_1, e_2) + \mathcal{K}(e_1, e_2)$ where
  - $\mathcal{K}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty_\ell(\mathbb{R})$ for (e_1, e_2) satisfying (4.3) and it is compact;
  - A_i depends on (e_1, e_2) and it satisfies
    $$\|A_i(e_1^1, e_2^1) - A_i(e_1^2, e_2^2)\| \le o(1)\|(e_1^1 - e_1^2, e_2^1 - e_2^2)\|$$
    uniformly for (e_1, e_2) satisfying (4.3)
  - the dependence of $(\ddot{e}_1, \ddot{e}_2)$ is linear

Our goal is to solve (6.15) in e_1, e_2 To do so we analyze the invertibility of the linear operators L_j.

Lemma 6.4. *For any $f \in L^\infty_\ell([0, +\infty])$, there exists a unique $e_1 \in C^2_\ell([0, \infty])$ solution of $L_1(e_1) = f$. Moreover, there exists c such that*

$$\varepsilon^2 \|\ddot{e}_1\|_\infty + \varepsilon \|\dot{e}_1\|_\infty + \|e_1\| \le c \|f\|_\infty.$$

Lemma 6.5. *Assume*

$$|\varepsilon^2 m^2 - k^2| > c\varepsilon \quad m = 1, 2 \dots$$

for some c positive, where

$$k := \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \int_0^\ell \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{\mu}_0^2(s)}} \, ds.$$

For any $f \in C^0_\ell([0, \infty]) \cap L^\infty_\ell([0, +\infty])$, there exists a unique $e_2 \in C^2_\ell([0, \infty])$ solution of $L_2(e_2) = f$. Moreover, there exists c such that

$$\varepsilon^2 \|\ddot{e}_1\|_\infty + \varepsilon \|\dot{e}_1\|_\infty + \|e_1\| \le c \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|f\|_\infty.$$

Finally, if $f \in C^2_\ell([0, \infty])$, then

$$\varepsilon^2 \|\ddot{e}_1\|_\infty + \varepsilon \|\dot{e}_1\|_\infty + \|e_1\| \le c \left[\|f\|_\infty + \|\dot{f}\|_\infty + \|\ddot{f}\|_\infty \right].$$

For the Proof of Lemma 6.5 we proceed as in Lemma 8.2 of [6].

Proof of Theorem 1.1: completed. By Lemma 6.4 we find $\hat{e}_1$ solution of

$$L_1(\hat{e}_1) = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\mu}_0} M_1(\theta), \quad \text{with } \varepsilon^2 \|\ddot{\hat{e}_1}\|_\infty + \varepsilon \|\dot{\hat{e}_1}\|_\infty + \|\hat{e}_1\|_\infty \leq c.$$

By Lemma 6.5 we find $\hat{e}_2$ solution of

$$L_2(\hat{e}_2) = -M_2(\theta), \quad \text{with } \varepsilon^2 \|\ddot{\hat{e}_2}\|_\infty + \varepsilon \|\dot{\hat{e}_2}\|_\infty + \|\hat{e}_2\|_\infty \leq c.$$

Let us define

$$e_j = \hat{e}_j + \tilde{e}_j, \qquad j = 1, 2.$$

The system (6.15) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} L_1(\tilde{e}_1) = \varepsilon^a T_1 \\ \\ L_2(\tilde{e}_2) = \varepsilon^{1+a} T_2 \end{cases} \tag{6.16}$$

Let us observe that the linear operator

$$L(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) = (L_1(\tilde{e}_1), L(\tilde{e}_2))$$

is invertible with bounds for $L(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) = (f, g)$ given by

$$\|(\tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2)\| \leq C[\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon^{-1} \|g\|_\infty].$$

Finally, by contraction mapping argument it follows that, the problem (6.16) has a unique solution with

$$\|\hat{e}_1\| < c\varepsilon^a, \qquad \|\hat{e}_2\| < c\varepsilon^a$$

and that concludes the proof. □

References

[1] Biler, P. *Local and global solvability of some parabolic system modeling chemotaxis.* Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **8** (1998) 715-743.

[2] Brezis, H.; Merle, F. *Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions* Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns **16** (1991) 1223-1253.

[3] Childress S., Percus J.K. *Nonlinear aspects of chemotaxis* Math. Biosci. **56** (1981) 217-237.

[4] del Pino, M.; Kowalczyk, M.; Wei, J. *Concentration on curves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **60** (2007) 113–146

[5] del Pino M.; Kowalczyk M.; Pacard F.; Wei J. *The Toda system and multiple-end solutions of autonomous planar elliptic problems.* Advances in Mathematics **224** (2010) no. 4 1462–1516.

[6] del Pino, M.; Wei, J. *Collapsing steady states of the Keller-Segel system.* Nonlinearity **19** (2006), no. 3, 661–684

[7] Grossi, M. *Radial solutions for the Brezis-Nirenberg problem involving large nonlinearities,* Journal of Functional Analysis **254** (2008) 2995–3036

[8] Keller, E. F; Segel L. A. *Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability* J. Theor. Biol. **26** (1970) 399–415

[9] Levitan, B. M.; Sargsjan, I. S. *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators* Mathematics and its Appl. (Soviet Series), **59**. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1991.

[10] Mahmoudi, F.; Malchiodi, A. *Concentration on minimal submanifolds for a singularly perturbed Neumann problem* Adv. Math. **209** (2007) 460–525

[11] Malchiodi, A.; Montenegro, M. *Boundary concentration phenomena for a singularly perturbed elliptic problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002) 1507–1568

[12] Malchiodi, A.; Montenegro, M. *Multidimensional boundary layers for a singularly perturbed Neumann problem*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004) 105–143

[13] Malchiodi, A., *Concentration at curves for a singularly perturbed Neumann problem in three-dimensional domains*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **15** (2005) 1162–1222

[14] Nagai, T.; Senba, T.; Yoshida, K. *Application of the Trudinger-Moser inequality to a parabolic system of chemotaxis* Funckcjal. Ekvac. **40** (1997)411–33

[15] A. Pistoia, G. Vaira, *Steady states with unbounded mass of the Keller-Segel system Proc. Royal Soc. Edin.* (to appear)
[16] Schaaf, R. *Stationary solutions of chemotaxis systems* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **292** (1985) 531-356
[17] Senba T.; Suzuki T. *Some structures of the solution set for a stationary system of chemotaxis* Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **10** (2000) 191-224.
[18] Senba T.; Suzuki T. *Weak solutions to a parabolic-elliptic system of chemotaxis* J. Funct. Anal. **191** (2002) 17-51
[19] Wang, G.; Wei, J. *Steady state solutions of a reaction-diffusion system modeling Chemotaxis.* Math. Nachr. **233234** (2002) 221-236.

(Manuel del Pino) Departamento de Ingenieria Matemática Facultad de Ciencias Fisicas y Matemáticas,Universidad de Chile Casilla 170, Correo 3, Santiago, Chile
E-mail address: delpino@dim.uchile.cl

(Angela Pistoia) Dipartimento SBAI, Universtà di Roma "La Sapienza", via Antonio Scarpa 16, 00161 Roma, Italy
E-mail address: pistoia@dmmm.uniroma1.it

(Giusi Vaira) Dipartimento di Matematica "G. Castelnuovo", Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Piazzale A. Moro 1, 00161 Roma, Italy
E-mail address: vaira@mat.uniroma1.it